

(start belt #12)

SENATOR SYAS: Then how do you come up and say that the rest of us are going to pick up the tab. Something is screwy here.

SENATOR GOODRICH: I am saying to you George that the power companies would be one of the beneficiaries of the bill, which is the main purpose of the bill itself.

SENATOR SYAS: Alright the power districts will be one of the beneficiaries of the bill, I understand that. Who else will benefit by the bill, financially?

SENATOR GOODRICH: That I am not sure.

SENATOR SYAS: That is the point that I thought that Hasebroock answered very honestly when I asked him that question. There are other beneficiaries beside the power district.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Madam Chairman, I'm not sure that I can answer the question before us, because and I should have but I did not take the time to find out who is involved in it, but I would think that it applies to public utilities. I'm not sure that I am on, am I? I have always supported this bill, this is a companion bill that was passed, the measure was passed several years ago that will allow tax exemption or rather a refund of taxes assessed to any material that goes into the equipment that will provide for pollution control. At that time, as applied to water that passed, when it came to areas that it did not pass. Now this bill will accomplish the same for the control of air pollution as was accomplished by the control of water pollution, and I was not in here when the amendment was placed on the bill that would not apply in certain cases at least to private enterprise. I'm not sure what the amendment did. I was not here but I do not now know if it applies to private enterprise or not. I'm thinking that it applies only to public utilities. But I still support the bill.

PRESIDENT: You asked Senator Syas a question? Do you have an answer.

SENATOR KREMER: No, I'm telling him that I don't know the answer to his question. I am saying that I support the bill because it is an encouragement to provide for air pollution control on the part of the industry. All of us save in the long run. As Senator Hasebroock brought out these utilities are the public service people and have to provide these pollution controls systems for us and we in the long run are going to have to help pay for them anyway. So it is an incentive to control our air and water pollution and it applies only to air at this time, I am aware of that. I think that we need to give them the same privileges, and the same treatment that we do those that are involved in water pollution.

PRESIDENT: Senator Syas, did you have a comment on his answer.

SENATOR SYAS: Yes, it would pointed out to me that and I still say that Senator Hasebroock unless the amendment has something that I don't know about and I don't have a chance to study the amendment, on line, beginning on line 5 on page 2