

Now when you talk about the size of the farm you are talking about both ranches and farms. Ranches today in order to be profitable at all consists of thousands of acres. The same way with farms. What used to be a family sized farm would be perhaps 80 or 160 acres, but now it is absolutely impossible to buy the equipment and to furnish that farm with a livestock program, you simply must expand this operation and farms today are much larger than they used to be simply because we are forced into it Senator Chambers and many farmers today are from a...a..forming corporations. Now if you are incorporating, in other words for various reasons of course. Now let me say Senator Chambers that I am concerned just like you are about the big boys and maybe the bill isn't too bad for discussion, I want to explain my negative vote on this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: For my clarification could I ask him a question if he would answer it?

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, go ahead.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Kremer, perhaps I wasn't clear in what I was trying to get across by mentioning this 771 acres as the average size of a farm. That means that there are some farms that are a lot bigger and a lot of farms that are a lot smaller. What I meant to say is that the average farm sized family farm is not 771 acres, in my opinion. I'm not talking about how many acres you need to operate profitably, those are the issues that I think can be brought out under a bill like this without anyone having to fear that the bill itself is going to restrict the holdings of anybody. Perhaps there shouldn't be family farms anymore. But these things are discussed in general terms now and I think that the time has come that when since specific programs under the direction and guidance of the legislature are going to have to be evolved about how land in this state will be used.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. President.....

PRESIDENT: Alright, next to speak is Senator Syas, Fowler, Nore, Waldron in that order.

SENATOR SYAS: Yes, just a little shorty, I'm quite disappointed and also amused to a point, but I am not laughing. On Senators like Schmit and some of the rural Senators a few minutes ago Senator Carpenter had introduced a bill with no funding that only applied to transit authorities in Omaha and Lincoln. The rural senators were very much voting for that introduction, now we turn around here and the question is made and Senator Duis has just popped off about it a little bit and so have some of the rest of them, why should a city Senator should introduce a bill of this nature that only applies to rural people? You get all upset about that. But, you don't...a... you seem to think Senator Schmit that you have a certain right to tell us what to do, but when we touch you, a senator from the city, if we introduce a bill it is all wrong, it just kind of depends upon whose ox is being gorged and on this particular issue this time your ox is being gorged and it is written all over you. Now I don't even think that I am for the bill. But I think that it should be introduced because we want to be impartial in this legislature and give everybody a chance. So the mere fact that Ernie, Senator Chambers don't vote too often together, and we probably won't