

February 6, 1974

are going to..it's a symbolic gesture on our part if we simply enact a law and don't make it stiff enough to see the proportionate procedures are there. If my amendment is adopted I will vote for 600.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. President, I would oppose this amendment. For the specific reason this simply a maneuver to kill this piece of legislation. I'll be glad to come back if we find this is needed in another year. Let's be realistic. We don't put this type of a fine on other mis..or errors of this type. Let's put the beginning legislation to show the two-thirds of the none smoking population that they too have some rights. And only through state legislation can we assist non-smokers to ride from Sidney Nebraska to Omaha and back and have a smoke free area on that bus. Local ordinance cannot take care of this problem and a number of others. We need this to be state legislation.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Carstens.

SENATOR CARSTENS: Mr. President and members, I would oppose this..I'm going to vote for the bill, but obviously with this kind of a penalty in it nobody is going to be willing to vote for it. The sole purpose of the amendment is an attempt to defeat the bill. Let's vote this down.

PRESIDENT: Senator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. President and members, I concur with Senator Carstens. This is a maneuver to kill the bill, so let's vote the amendment down and...the piece of legislation that Senator Marsh has is good. It's a start in the right direction. I don't know maybe I'm a little bit showing my age a little bit, but when I was in the University why we had no smoking on the campus. That's outside of the building and in the buildings and no one complained about it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I want to speak on the bill itself.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I know that some members of the body have had some apprehension about this piece of legislation as perhaps is characterized as over regulation. But I think that some of this apprehension at least can be addressed to by the experience that people have had who have done any traveling in recent months where the airlines in particular have designated non-smoking areas in the airplanes. And I think that the response that both smoker and non smokers have had to this kind of thing has said that this kind of protection can be provided in reasonable circumstances to non-smokers. And so I think that in terms to LB 600 we are talking about a very good piece of legislation that can be accepted because it is not unreasonable. And for that same reason I would have to oppose the amendment that has been proposed by Senator Lewis because I think that this makes the bill unreasonable in terms of the penalty.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I move to amend the \$100 down to \$25.00