

February 5, 1974

PRESIDENT: Read the reports.

CLERK: (Read reports- refer to journal page 510.) That is all Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Any other business on the desk?

CLERK: None.

PRESIDENT: Senator Murphy, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MURPHY: I should demand equal time with Senator Kelly, but I will forgo that until March 17.

PRESIDENT: You can have 5 seconds.

SENATOR MURPHY: However, well in five seconds, I am curious if the motion to reconsider comes under this set of rules that we gave as regards to the motion or are we injecting the fact that this constitutional amendment and raising the requirement to reconsider to 30?

PRESIDENT: Look on page 40 of the rules. At the top of page 40, rule 7, section I.

SENATOR MURPHY: How about the bottom of page, the white page without a section?

PRESIDENT: Well right now the Chair is going by the yellow pages right here and they are respective of what is on the white page right there and that is the way that we are going.

SENATOR MURPHY: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Whitney?

SENATOR WHITNEY: A point of personal privilege to answer the question of Dave Stahmer. These sheets have been put out on the desks. The investment council has considered very seriously an investment for investments, but they have decided not to invest in things that may become nationalized. (laughter) And therefore, this investment would not be prudent.

PRESIDENT: This is the morning for everything. Alright Senator Syas for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SYAS: Just a question.

PRESIDENT: Go ahead sir.

SENATOR SYAS: The bill wouldn't have lived anyhow that is why I can see that it got 27 votes so it wouldn't have gone whether it needed 25 or 30 but when that vote was taken in your rule, it seems to me a while back on the same type of a condition of re-considering a bill on Final Reading when there was only 25 required, today it was 30. And I think that Senator Murphy's question was really in order and the only thing that I am saying about just like yesterday where you made a ruling and yet in the book this morning, looking it over, your ruling was in error but of course you were sustained, I like to really have a little bit more consistency in the Chair on these rules.

PRESIDENT: No comment.

SENATOR SYAS: As I say, this one wouldn't have lived anyhow with 25 because it only got 27 anyhow but the fact is I don't think that