

January 31, 1974

SENATOR DE CAMP: OK, but now back to my basic question. Can the old law or this law with the very minor

(end belt #10)

(start belt #11)

change, be used in a comprehensive program lets say over a 3 or 5 year period to pave a large amounts of streets using the link up or extension system where people would be opposed to the paving, normally. Could it be used in that way?

SENATOR DUIS: You would have to create paving districts in the comprehensive plan. So that you would have the void spots of two blocks. Now Senator DeCamp, I am sure that you are as familiar as I am with the town that paves but one street and down another and say both streets and leaves the block in between. They have got to have some way of getting these connected. They are allowed originally to put in the curb and gutter. All it leaves is the paving. This bill does not change any of the old law except to increase it from 1200 to 1325 feet. So if you had a comprehensive plan, you would have to have in the plan, you would have to have your paving districts laid out so you would know where you were connecting up.

SENATOR DE CAMP: OK, back to the very simple question then, it is possible to pave a lot of area where the people wouldn't want it or you couldn't get a paving district formed to approve of it, is that correct?

SENATOR DUIS: You would have to get the paving district formed before....

SENATOR DE CAMP: No, you have the paving district, you have X blocks, Y blocks and Z blocks but you want to link them all up, and the areas that you want to link up might be the back sides of properties or property that would have a very long lot line and the person who has paving on one side and the people along that street simply don't want to put out the 10 or 12 bucks a foot. This would enable the city to compel them to go along with the paving and to pay if it was a hundred foot a thousand dollar or fifteen hundred dollar assessment.

SENATOR DUIS: Senator DeCamp, this is one of the problems in owning property, that you eventually have to improve it and if you are going to leave it lay vacant those are the only people that complain about paving. If you are going to leave it vacant you had better get ready to do something with it, because eventually you are going to have to improve it.

SENATOR DE CAMP: I'm not talking about vacant property, lets just assume a big house on a corner lot, and a street going down the front of it. That is all paved and then you have the street one block down or two blocks down that is all paved but all the little streets in between, the linking streets, are not paved and they are the ones that are going to cost the land owner with his house maybe twice as much as the frontage. Because they would be able to pave these without his consent even if everybody on the block were opposed to it, is that correct?

SENATOR DUIS: They are doing it now.