Legislature properly considers. And what this bill attempts to do; and what this bill will do is open up that discussion. It seems to me that if we would silence those that are making this proposal, we should expect in turn to be silent by many others in this body when we have something that we want to talk about. This is a deliverative body. This bill whether you are for it or against it, opens up the subject that leaves a discussion. The request is only to debate, and to consider, and not to vote. I would strongly urge every man and woman in this body to vote for the introduction of the bill to open up a needed discussion in an area that needs attention. And it goes no farther than that. Not withstanding what Senator Stahmer is prepared to say, I would stand by what I've just said, and I would hope that Senator Stahmer would concur. I would hope that Senator Stahmer would concur in these remarks.

PRESIDENT: Senator Richendifer is next to speak, then Senator Stahmer then Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR RICHENDIFER: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature. I must oppose this bill, this is another move by Omaha to pinch down the counties that are surrounding it. The eastern Nebraska Community College, consists of Washington, Dodge, and Sarpy Counties. We all know and I think when the boarders were set up last year it takes x number of dollars to run a Technical Community College. Take Sarpy County out of the Eastern Nebraska Technical Community College, and you've reduced the tax base. So in essence you will have a Technical Community College that is ineffective. It takes funds for it. Omaha is looking for money. They gobbled up Millard, and now they are gobbling Sarpy County for their own purposes. I do not hesitate to say that they do not have problems in Omaha. But we have problems in our counties also. And to reduce the tax base of the Eastern Nebraska Community College, I could not support that.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak is Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President and members of the body. Unfortunately, although both Senator Fellman is no doubt superior to myself in intelligence. He does not have the facility to read minds. I would like to set the record straight. I did not ask this body to do a favor in the introduction of a bill dealing with District 66. That was my right because the bill drafter made a mistake and I cam down here Friday and said a mistake has been made, an honest mistake. The bill is lost. Senator Carpenter said this is the prerogative of any Senator, when the bill is lost, when it's found we will get it introduced. It wasn't my fault. I didn't parlay any tricks, in spite of what Superintendent Spelts said. And I didn't ask anyone for a favor. That wasn't any of my intent. It wasn't my fault the bill was lost. Now let's go on to the introduct-on of this new bill. I'd like to ask Senator Cavanaugh if he would yield to a question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh yield?

SENATOR STAHMER: Do you have any opposition to having the bill sent to Education Committee?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: It's not..I don't have any opinion to express on which committee at all. I'm not on the Reference Committee and I don't care where it goes.