

January 23, 1974

there wouldn't been any tax cut. The Governor could have had a tax cut last fall. Perhaps I was wrong in allowing that bill to be reused. Perhaps if I had to do it over again I wouldn't have done it. But as a member of the Budget Committee I felt we had more money coming in than we needed. I thought it made sense to cut it from 15 to 13%. I allowed the bill to be used. The bill was passed. And now there are allegations in one or the other by the Governor and the Senators, etc. I have introduced the bill to reestablish the 13%. Why? Not for political reasons, but because I think 13% is the amount necessary in the first place. I only mention this because I want it to be recalled how we got the 2% cut in the first place, so when my bill comes up, whatever others do I think I am not playing politics in this matter for or against the Governor or anyone else.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognized Senator Marvel.
For what purpose do you rise Senator?

SENATOR Marvel: My name was brought up and I would like to make a comment or two, Mr. President on personal privilege if I could. In the first place, the seat yesterday to encourage the Legislature to increase taxes and this was mentioned several times and that was the purpose of the speech. Was to ask us to adopt a budget which would increase the income tax to at least 2%, and percentage wise it was much larger than this. Because the difference between 11 and 13 is fairly great. Terms of irresponsibility were used. I also went before the State Board of Equalization. I thought we were following the law. And after the report of the State Tax Commissioner and the report of the head of the Department of Administrative Services, there was some place between 18 and 20 million dollars left over. Now the gentleman yesterday who came in here and treated us like school children, and I don't mind competition between the Executive and Legislative Branches. But I think there ought to be some mutual respect in the process. This is the gentleman who was protesting in 1970 that we were carrying this fantastic balance of about 46 million dollars. Now the balance is still the same. But I don't hear the acceptance of irresponsibility on the part of the present administration when they have a 46 million dollar overall general fund balance, when this was one of the key issues in 1970. Now maybe someplace along the line it is too much to expect consistency in 1974, but at least we ought to try. So whatever we did when we went before the State Board of Equalization, as far as I was concerned was to check to find out whether the law was being followed and if there was excess money that ought to go back from whence it came. To the Tax Payer. And I would like to make one other statement. As far as the appropriations process is concerned. This year we have an added ingredient to your information as far as Legislators are concerned. And that has to do with the fact that you will receive ahead of time recommendations from the Legislative Fiscal Staff, and that is all these are, are recommendations. Well the Governor was castigating me because he did not get a preview, an additional preview when by the same token and the press was coming to me right after the speech. And I have to talk only from notes that I had gathered from the speech, as far as I am concerned none of us had any prior information as to what was going to be said yesterday. Now you have the benefit of Legislative Fiscal Recommendations. You also have the benefit of Executive Recommendations. And as far as I'm concerned in the Appropriations Committee. We are going to use those 2 recommendations and make up our own minds as to