

January 18, 1974

wholeheartedly and I am speaking for the majority of the committee, certain wholeheartedly support the amendment as offered. It just clarifies language makes simpler book-keeping, this I think is great. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion of the amendment, now? All right. Senator Snyder do you have any closing remarks?

SENATOR SNYDER: Mr. President, I say thank you to those who have spoken in favor of it. I favor it, and I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: All right, the question is, Should the Snyder amendment as amended by Senator Stull then be adopted. All those in favor of that amendment please say aye, those opposed say no. Ayes have it. So ordered. The amendment is adopted are there further amendments on the desk.

CLERK; Mr. President, there is pending an amendment offered by Senator Barnett which is set in fold in the Legislative Journal on page 126.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I move the adoption of the amendment. The amendment as you can see on page 126, I think and I believe takes out a discriminatory practice in this bill. What it's done in the Legislation or LB 92 is to take out and single out the people who have the deaf or the visually handicapped child, and limit then to 5 trips. Now what I would like to do is remove the limit of 5 trips to take these children back and forth to school. There's now no limit for the mentally or the handicapped retarded. I think the same thing should hold true for the people with the deaf and the visually handicapped kids. Therefore, I would ask for the adoption of this amendment. I don't think that it's right that we should make somebody be limited when they have handicapped children and the rest of them have no limits. I think this is the oversight as far as the legislature is concerned, I don't think it should be in here.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kennedy.

SENATOR KENNEDY: Speaking again, Mr. President and fellows members just briefly, I hop that we treat all children and parents alike and I would support this amendment at this time hoping that the parents that have transportation will continue to use it and not abuse this by limiting, by taking the limit off on the five trips a year, we may be adding approximately \$50,000 and it's a difficult figure to arrive at and I'm not saying that's high or low, I'm saying what we are trying to do is give all children an opportunity to attend. The total cost of this bill in transportation is roughly \$50,000 as near as we can calculate. I think we should definitely, if we're going to provide programs give those children an opportunity to arrive at the correct school. Other than that I would hope that by next year 403 is working successfully with guidelines, that the school districts themselves will have an opportunity

(End Belt #8)

Start Belt #9

to participate and use these guidelines, so we may have to have