

January 8, 1974

attack of this country to disrupt our fuel lines which we now use such as propane, gasoline and deisel. We might have to go to these timber lots to get fuel to keep warm.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rasmussen.

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Mr. President, I ask for the question.

PRESIDENT: The question has been called for do I see 5 hands. The question is shall the debate now close. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote no. The motion is to close debate. The motion is to limit debate. Thank you. Have you all voted? The clerk will record.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 3 nays, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Very good. Debate will now close. Senator Dickinson do you care to close on your motion to indefinitely postpone LB104. Senator Dickinson is now closing.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Well we've gotten quite a ways..quite far afield when we talk about using woodlands to replace gas and fuel and coal for energy in case of an atomic attack. Senator Kelly made a remark that all lands are supposed to be taxed the same. I suggest that Senator Kelly and his wisdom consult with our State Tax Commissioner and he will find out how rural lands are supposed to be assessed. It certainly isn't in this manner. I ask your support on my motion.

PRESIDENT: The question is shall LB104 be indefinitely postponed. All those in favor will vote aye, all those opposed will vote no. Once again the chair would ask if you have all voted? The clerk will record.

CLERK: 8 ayes, 35 nays, 6 not voting.

PRESIDENT: The motion is lost to indefinitely postpone LB104. Senator Syas.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion to amend.

PRESIDENT: Read the motion.

CLERK: I move to amend LB 104 page 3, line 20, after Urban Area, add "provided that such land shall be unrestricted for public use". Signed Senator Stahmer.

PRESIDENT: The chair recognizes Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President and members of the body. I think Senator Syas and his wisdom

(End Belt #3).

(Start Belt #4)

does have a good bill. And I find it difficult to understand how we're going to give a tax benefit for the people who own land and have no taxes on it still have these lands be restricted to public use. And I think if we're going to expect the taxpayers of the state to assume this added tax burdens for taking this property off the tax roll, which is