

value at no time would I say that that is an increase in evaluation.

PRESIDENT: Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: A question of Senator Syas. Question of public access, if these are to be tax exempt bodies of water will there be free public access to timber and water so set aside?

SENATOR SYAS: My intention is no. If the person or the farmer does allow you in there, it is all right. But I don't see how you can put anything like that in a bill because you can not take property without due process of law and that would be taking his property water rights away from him. I'll say that if a farmer that has a farm pond or a person that has some scrub trees and most of them are pretty nice Joe's and I know that they generally let me hunt and fish and I think that they let a lot of people hunt and fish naturally for free and I don't think that there will be...there will be some farmers that won't. There are some farmers that won't let you hunt and fish but I do not want to get into to try and take property and make public access to this which would be a deplorable thing which we can't do because I think that the Federal Government says, that you would be taking property away from people without due process of law. The farmer still owns this land. I am all for him on that.

PRESIDENT: The Chair next recognizes Senator F. Carstens, then Kelly, Nore, Kime and Dickinson.

SENATOR CARSTENS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature this idea that is incorporated in this bill, and I want to a... brought about by Senator Syas, it is so good, I wish that I had thought about it myself. This is a bill that could do tremendous things for the preservation of some of the few remaining resources that we have and might encourage some people to leave stand some of the shreads and remnants of timber still remaining and it might even encourage of the replanting of some of the wastelands and creek and river banks that have been deluded by people who were short sited and in their efforts to remove the timbers and have not replaced them and do this under the constant excuse that the taxes, or the real estate taxes are so high on this land that we have to use it, we have to make available every acre that we can because as it stands we are paying \$6, \$8, \$10 an acre tax on it and we just can't afford it and there is some merit on what we say and it is a blind short sight on the part of the public that we tolerate this and do nothing to correct it. Now I have seen to my sorrow since I was a child some of the most beautiful timbers in my community that were available to all and were a great contribution to the entire county to many people to host of wildlife activities birds, animals and so on and completely destroyed almost without any sense, purpose or reasoning. It is not timber that is utilized it is just simply bulldozed under and bulldozed up in piles and racked up on creek and river banks and the next flood that comes along the logs are carried away or carried down stream, bang and crash into bridges cause log jams, tear out the bridges, tear out...it causes flooding and erosion on the low lands because of the diversion of water due to log jams created by the piling of bulldozed brush and timber on the creek banks. It goes on and on. Much of our land that could...many acres of land that could be used more profitably by allow it to remain in wildlife

(end belt #7)