

SENATOR LUEDTKE: You are in real trouble.

SENATOR WHITNEY: According to this bill, does this bill take care of anything like that?

SENATOR LUEDTKE: No but there is another law on the books that say that you dar not apply for a second drivers license if you have another one, you can not apply for two drivers licenses in fact I think we had a rather interesting situation involving some rather prominent name not too long ago that did just that.

SENATOR WHITNEY: But you see I wouldn't have it. I wouldn't have the other license.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: It can't happen because you would be charged with a crime. You can do it but you would be charged with a crime.

PRESIDENT: Chair next recognizes Senator DeCamp, then Dickinson, Murphy, Stull, Nore.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature this bill from the comments is obviously completely misunderstood at least the intention of the committee as Senator Fellman said the idea was to prevent and eliminate some of hassels that go on. The very things that the people are complaining about. So if you were going along highway 275 lets say through Wisner or somewhere and the town marshall stopped you and said that you were wreckless and it was 11:00 p.m. and he said that you have to put up \$100 bond or you have to spend the night in jail. All you would have to do is to turn over your drivers license and he would be obligated by law under this bill to give you a receipt which has the same effect in value as your drivers license in Nebraska or any other state. If you later decided to plead guilty by waiver and pay your \$25.00 fine you would mail it in and get your drivers license back. If you decided to fight it you could go to court and if you won you could get your drivers license back. But it is exactly the opposite of what most people think it is. It is to elevate and eliminate harassment and hassle rather than to cause it. Your not loosing your drivers license at all. The objection that it is difficult to get back, this is going to be in the hands of a judge, not in the hands of a patrolman somewhere. So, with the misunderstanding that is on this bill at this particular point from the origins and everything else, it might even be wise for us to wait a day and have the individual members contact their local sheriffs or their county attorney or somebody else so that they could get in-put or feeling from the people out in their area. I'm sure tnat once they get back that 90% of you will support the bill because it is what you want and right now the interpretation is just exactly the opposite of what the bill is. So if Senator Luedtke would go along with it I think that maybe we could let it sit for a few days till you do check. Would you have any objection?

SENATOR LUEDTKE: I don't have any objection if you hold off for the rest of the session as far as I am concerned.

SENATOR DE CAMP: I would make a motion then that we bracket it then until next week at this time and anybody who wants could just give their own county attorney a call or some judge that they know, or a friend oh in law enforcement. I know that I have talked to several state patrolmen who have read it and understand it and they are behind it because they