

January 2, 1974

opposition, because we had some in the committee hearings. But Senator Whitney is correct and I would be the last one to attempt and suggest we go against the rules. But I don't think we forever and a day ought to bring up a technicality in the rules in order to for-stall the consideration of bills, when you can do the same thing on final reading when you know exactly what the cost is going to be.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter, the chair doesn't know whether you're objecting to his unanimous consent motion, or not. Do you object. Just hold it over. Yes.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, the rules say that, and that's the way it ought to be.

PRESIDENT: Fine. Now does the chair hear any objections to Senator's Whitney's motion to lay it over. Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: I'd like to have a point of order, if you wouldn't mind. I think you have a motion before the house right now, and I'm wondering how you can take up his unanimous consent when you have the amendments to either dispose of or get rid of that you have from Senator Luedtke. I just asked for this point of clarification. We have asked for the adoption of the amendments to this bill. Now you are saying that somebody's asking for another motion to be settled. I think you have to dispose of the first one, I believe. Isn't that right?

PRESIDENT: The motion before the house then, before the unanimous consent motion was on the amendment Senator Luedtke do you have a statement on those.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Well I've explained them. I'm just going to say there is a fiscal note available on this. It's just a matter of getting it copied and put into this book. It was for a..Senator Whitney, I'm sure you're aware that in your book earlier this year last year. It was in the book. We didn't hear this bill, unless we. As you stated unless we had a fiscal note. There was a fiscal note attached. It was in our books. And all we have to do is get it re-published and put back. Have it copied and put in our books. That's all we need. And that's what Senator Carpenter is saying we could go ahead and take care of the amendments. If you want to wait and take action on the rest of the bill until it gets in there. You'll get it on select file and then you can make your objections.

PRESIDENT: Well maybe the chair can resolve this. Senator Kelly I'm going to recognize you because your light is on. Do you have a comment here regarding these amendments and are you objecting to withdrawing the bill. The chair is looking at this time that actually we have a violation of the rules and considering the bill when there isn't a fiscal note on there. Probably it doesn't even take a motion in order to lay it over. But at least that focuses attention on it, but the chair did not know that there was not a fiscal note, so therefore, when we began the debate on the bill it looked proper to the chair that the bill could be considered at this time. Now it appears improper to go ahead. Except the body did not object to hearing the bill or debating the amendments to it at that time so the chair went along with that. But technically speaking it probably is out of order, and I would probably rule that way, but go ahead and let's hear what you have on the way of imput regarding this bill.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President. I'm interested in questions to Senator Luedtke in clarifying exactly what this bill is. And would have nothing to do with the parliamentary part of it. May I proceed with that?