

May 25, 1973

than \$32,000 a year. So I wouldn't be opposed to letting the attorney general have a salary of \$50,000 or even \$75,000. I think the amount in this bill are modest. I think they are fair and if there is an attempt next year to increase the salary of the Governor, I wouldn't be opposed to that. But Senator Stull, I would say that justice deferred is justice denied and in order to give the Governor what you feel is just, I don't think you ought to commit an injustice on these other individuals. If everybody is entitled to a salary increase, but because of the technicalities of amending a bill at this time, making it impossible to raise a salary of the Governor in this bill, we should not keep these other people under a handicap, just because you feel the Governor is under one and since this particular Governor is not concerned about a wage increase, it doesn't matter about him anyway. I feel we ought to look at the duties as Senator Cavanaugh stated, that in here in these particular offices, the dignity that is given to labor is directly tied in with the salary that is paid for the labor rendered. Now if shoveling manure was going to bring you a dollar an hour, there is no dignity attached to that and an individual who wears a white collar telling his son about the dignity of labor, would not tell him to get a job as a manure shoveler. But if you allowed that one who shoveled manure to make \$500.00 an hour, that would dignify to such an extent that perhaps the individuals serving his Governor would rather serve as a manure shoveler and some people feel he does that now anyway, for free, where the legislature is concerned, but in all seriousness I don't think these salaries are adequate. So if I were opposed to the bill, that would be the reason, but since it is a step toward, what I feel is a proper goal of adequately compensating people for very important duties, I think we ought to override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Fred Carstens.

SENATOR CARSTENS: Mr. President, I move the previous question.

PRESIDENT: Previous question has been moved. Does the chair see 5 hands? Thank-you. The question is, shall the debate now cease. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote no. Motion is to close debate. Thank-you. Clerk will record.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President to cease debate.

PRESIDENT: Debate will now close. Senator Carpenter may close on his motion to override the Governor's veto on LB 246. Senator Carpenter has the floor.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Mr. I'll be very brief. In answer to Senator Stull, I like to say, there are many people in State Government now, who are getting materially more than the Governor is. Not one or two, but many of them. Now we are not talking about gross salaries my friend, in this income that we are proposing to consider, from that you do what, you deduct Federal income tax, you deduct State income tax, you deduct Social Security, and other things in which they desire to participate in. We're not talking about \$25,000. We're talking about a third off from that, and I just don't think its, and the only reason I have, I have no rankle against the Governor in this case at all. I just think we ought to raise their salaries and I, I didn't go, I had other people do it. This is the position he wants to be in and I called your attention on select file, you could raise it then, nobody did. Now I think he is entitled to more, I, I think any Governor. The office itself is not worth less than \$50,000. You pay a school superintendent 40 and 45,000. I don't understand it where the basis of equity is. But I would hope you would override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDENT: Question is, shall the bill pass, notwithstanding the objection of the Governor? All those in favor vote aye,