

prove that the reappraisal was not taken according to law and the standard set forth in the State Tax Commissioner. It is my understanding that Senator Burbach accepts this, so I move for the adoption of this.

SPEAKER: Is there any discussion, Senator Burbach?

SENATOR BURBACH: Members of the Legislature, this amendment would..is satisfactory and I think it will have good reaching affects. I think it is a good amendment and I hope that the body will adopt this amendment.

SPEAKER: The question has been called for. Do you care to close, Senator Carpenter? No closing. Those in favor of this Carpenter amendment..anybody wish to have it reread? Yes, somebody wants it reread. The clerk will read.

CLERK: Reread amendment.

SPEAKER: Those in favor of the amendment vote aye. Those opposed vote nay. Senator Whitney voting aye.

CLERK: Senator Whitney voting aye.

SPEAKER: Have you all voted? The clerk will record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, 23 not voting.

SPEAKER: The motion carries.

CLERK: That's all the motions on the desk, Mr. President.

SPEAKER: Senator Stull, the chair recognizes you to speak on the bill as a whole.

SENATOR STULL: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I want to call one or two things to the attention of the Legislature. When we talk about scientific reappraisal, a lot of people thinks there is a lot of magic in those words. Now during the past we have had reappraisal, statewide reappraisal, and these reappraisals were conducted over a term of years. I think probably from the time they started until they were completed, at least twelve years elapsed. Now, reappraisal is only good for the day that it is made or completed and in a year's time the thing is already out of focus and I think that if you just stop and consider how the values have changed in the last six months, twelve months, you can see that reappraisal has very little validity after a short period of time. I think the more that we tinker with this piece of legislation dealing with reappraisals, probably, the worse the whole situation has gotten. Now we have given the Tax Commissioner a lot of authority in this bill and one of the things that got us in trouble before, there just wasn't enough trained personnel to do this reappraisal work. Now if the Tax Commissioner goes out and attempts to carry on a number of reappraisals, talking about eight counties. I am just wondering where he is going to come up with help to do this, competent help. Looking at Section 3 in this bill, it gives Tax Commissioner authority to go ahead and pay for the reappraisal. I think, perhaps, the County Board should have a little more authority. They are out there. They can determine whether they are getting their money's worth or not and I certainly wouldn't want to put all this authority in the Tax Commissioner. For those reasons, I don't really see that this bill is going to help the situation in reappraisals.

SPEAKER: Senator Stull, did you want a machine vote on this on the basis of your statement? OK, you don't have to unless you feel it is controversial.

SENATOR STULL: I think we should have a machine vote.