

May 18, 1973

and I guess that the Governor let it become law without signing it. Allows you to appropriate for capital construction projects over a longer period of time you don't have to put up all the money at once. Now most of the Governor's recommendations you put up all the money at once. So what we have done in our recommendations to you is to put up only that amount of money which we propose to spend for that one particular year. To me this is a difference and it is a strategic difference. Now I think that this answers your question at the moment. I would like to go on after you may have a comment to what I have just said.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Mr. President, could we have order in this body, this is highly important.

PRESIDENT: Well the call was raised.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Proceed Senator Marvel. Well let me finish what I have to say.

PRESIDENT: Once again may we respectfully ask for some order here please?

SENATOR CARPENTER: If we can find first the thought to what we are going to spend in the next fiscal year. That is all that I am talking about. What is in the succeeding two years this body can change when they get here in January. For that reason there is no consequence at all. It is only that of a suggestion except that it requires the body to go through the motions to repeal or to add or to modify. So obviously we are only talking about the current year. As I can understand the paper to say that the Governor's recommendation is 22 million 600 thousand dollars. The bill in 256 as it now is as it says is 21 million or 200 hundred or it is about a million and a half less than what the Governor recommended. Now we have held the line on 259 and this body at numerous times has the opportunity to amend it and they refused to do it and I presume that you are still going to stand by that. Now the Governor send in a couple of bills which were twice what he originally asked for in the area of 259 and we passed them for him. At his request. So basically in the area of general appropriations we haven't spend a quarter more than he recommended yet. He recommended for example a 22½ million dollar in capital expenditures and we have spend less than that. Less. Not more. We have given this body the reason to believe that we are a bunch of wild spenders. That we are irresponsible that we haven't kept faith with the Chief Executive and we have kept more than faith. I think that it is a sad commentary that because of the obvious, unrealistic, advocating something that we are not about to do or not to is not right. That is in a nut shell we are spending about a million and a half less than the Governor recommended. The only difference is that our judgment is better than him as to where to spend it. Lets take the city of Omaha. You people who are objecting from Omaha. I have listened to time in memorial that the University of Omaha is a step-child. Yet when you have the chance as you know have to do what ought to have been done years ago you stand up because you are afraid to face the area of spending money for your product politically and you do everything that you can to defeat it. When the Governor did that he recommended not a quarter for you. Not a quarter for you. He hates your guts. If he didn't hate the University of Omaha, he would have recommended on an even par as that the University of Nebraska what has recommended there, very generously. That is what a I don't understand. Of course in this body you help each other. Particularly in the field of money and I see nothing wrong with that. I'm not willing to give the city of Omaha for example UNO the money that they have