

a program statement and I have seen no program statement really that is in sufficient shape to say whether we need a 4 or 5 or 6 million dollar medium maximum security facility. Most of these other major projects. Some of the minor things I don't think that the world is going to come to an end whether we vote them or don't vote them. But we do have a process and we adopt these things and we say that we are going to spend 5 million or 5.2 million we have lost all control over that. This is not just my thinking, we have the staff. This is what they think is the best approach. This is a legitimate planned approach. I know that I can't explain this to you in the three minutes on the floor but I would be happy to get together with you and the staff and spend an hour or two on it sometime some evening and then perhaps you can understand what we try to do in budget.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Luedtke and then Senator Marvel.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President, members of the legislature. I had firmly convinced myself not to say anything this morning but with that kind of a statement, I have too. Senator Stahmer I have been here longer than you have and I think that I understand the process. You can call that personal if you will, I believe that I fully understand the process. I also want to tell you that I am the one that made the decision to go to the appropriations committee with the penal correctional reform matter and not by-pass the appropriations committee. There was a strong suggestion made in the judiciary committee that we come out on this floor with an A bill and just take it up on the floor because after all we have spend hundreds of thousands of dollars to conduct this study and we have spend a couple of years and we have answered the mandate of the people of the state of Nebraska through this elected body to do something by 1975. If we are going to do something by 1975, we are going to do it this year. Now the responsibility for or inaction for doing nothing is going to be on people like Senator Stahmer, who says lets study it a little while longer. Now we went to the appropriations committee and we presented this to the appropriations committee and I am happy to say that the staff on the appropriations committee recommended what we came to the appropriations committee for and I believe that Senator Marvel will not deny the fact that they were still studying this when this bill came on the floor of the legislature and I am one who had and still have great confidence in the appropriations committee. I do not share Senator Proud's view at all. Senator Stahmer it is ridiculous that you and I should argue this because you and a....a...I have confidence in your committee. I have confidence in the committee system and I underline as Senator Syas did the committee system. Because the committee system allows the judiciary committee and a special committee, the Nebraska advisory committee on criminal justice, allowed that committee to conduct this study. Now I feel that what we have put into this bill can be changed. Senator Stahmer you say you can't change it. 1965 this legislature voted a bill to state office building. It is not built yet. You say we can't change it. We certainly did, we changed it even after bids were let on that state office building. This body can do anything that it wants to do and it can stop anything along the road and if you think that there is something wrong with that report I suggest that you find out what it is now. Now as far as programs, that big volume that you have with you some place that came out from our penal consultants contains all the program development that you need for your first stage. The only stage that is necessary in penal correctional