

the unborn child are present and nothing is added after conception save food, nutrients and oxygen, to carry on existing life. We know it to be living because it is growing and its cells divide and carry on all functions associated with life. Besides the fact that if it were dead, it would spontaneously abort itself. Constitutionally, Roy versus Wade, the appellee and certain amici argue that the fetus is a person within the language and meaning of the 14th amendment. The Supreme Court rules on the 14th amendment by saying, all this together with our observation supra that throughout the major portion of the 19th century, prevailing legal abortion practices were far freer than they are today persuades us that the word person as used in the 14th amendment does not include the unborn. Now this how my proposal and the Rhode Island bill differ. Preamble to the Rhode Island bill. Human life and, in fact, the person within the language and meaning of the 14th amendment of the Constitution of the United States commences to exist at the instant of conception. This is a direct violation of the Supreme Court's ruling. The 14th amendment was instituted to give former slaves their constitutional and civil right. With the limited knowledge of embryonic development at that time, in all probability the unborn child was not meant to be included under the 14th amendment but the Supreme Court did not rule on Article I, Section 9, subsection 2. The privilege of the writ of habeous corpus shall not be suspended unless when in cases of rebellion, invasion, or the public safety may require it. No bill of attainder or ipso facto law shall be passed. Amendment 5 basically states that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law. Amendment 8, nor cruel or unusual punishment inflicted. The child is sentenced to cruel and unusual punishment varying with the method of abortion. A violation of the 8th amendment for a crime the conceptus has not committed. Amendment 6, if a crime has been committed, that is if the conceptus through no fault of its own is designated as unwanted, illegitimate, abnormal or harmful to the physical or mental health of a mother, the conceptus should be allowed to invoke the privilege of the 6th amendment, the right to trial by jury (sp.) of its peers. Certainly the charges against the conceptus could not be the invasion of a woman's body..body, her privacy, for only the sperm by the father of the child or the doctor performing the abortion invades her body. The fact is the child never has been nor never will be a part of the property or slave of a woman's body. The case or charges against the conceptus would certainly be dropped for lack of evidence and the fact that the accused could not testify in its own defense." There is one more page here.

SPEAKER: Senator Proud, you've gone ten minutes.

SENATOR PROUD: Yes.

SPEAKER: If you wish to continue, you will have to ask for unanimous consent.

SENATOR PROUD: I would ask unanimous consent to finish this final page.

SPEAKER: Is there any objection?

SENATOR PROUD: I will then move to finish Mary Lyons statement which is only one more page.

SPEAKER: Motion and second is to allow Senator Proud to finish this statement. All in favor say aye. Opposed say nay. Senator Marsh.