

(start belt #21)

a 100% agreement with Senator Carpenter on one small part that he talked about and that is that if it is going to be defeated we might just as well defeat this without an awful lot of discussion. A similar companion measure of mine a couple of days ago was defeated, which would have invoked a limit to the amount of dollars that could be spent. I have an amendment to this bill 267 which we probably wouldn't hit till we got to about 530 or so this evening if we discussed them all which proposes a 100 thousand dollar limitation for spending in the 1974 General Election for the Governor's campaign only. No other limitation but I really wanted a vote on the question as a matter of principle as to whether the ladies and gentlemen of this hall, this body, would agree on principle that there should be a limit and that the limit of \$100,000 seems to me to be high enough in terms of what has been spent. I can't help quoting present or a...quoting President Nixon's speech a week or two ago where even President Nixon faced with the Watergate scandal the way it is has finally come around to the position that evidently the majority of this body won't quite accept. That is that the election laws and the reporting laws and the campaign expenditure laws should be tighter. Now if President Nixon can do it in view of the scandal that he is faced with, it seems to me that those of us that are in this body that think that we have been running and operating a pretty good clean shop should be willing to do the same thing. Senator Carpenter can say all he wants but politics is a dirty business. There have been parts of government that have been historically so terrible that all the....that ultimately something was done. One of them long ago was the old patronage problem. There were times when an administration changed everybody who was employed left, and it became scandalous and it finally resulted in the government cleaning up itself, with the Civil Service System. Now, that hasn't been perfect but it cleaned up the scandal of a man rising into office on the promise of jobs. This election reform who....is an idea whose time has come whether we want to acknowledge this today or not. I have no doubt that within the next few years some legislative body sitting in this room will pass laws along these lines. I know that there are already two or three resolutions calling for interim studies of this matter. I suppose that this will be referred to a committee and studied and next year it will come back to the same body, all of us sitting here again right before an election and then it will be even harder because then we will be faced with the politics of an election. I repeat only what I said a few days ago and with this I will quit. We are making a mistake in not taking this bill up at this time and dealing with it seriously. I think that we will come to regret what we are doing.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stromer.

SENATOR STROMER: Would Senator Fellman yield to a question?

PRESIDENT: Senator Fellman, will you yield?

SENATOR FELLMAN: Yes.

SENATOR STROMER: Did you also not introduce a resolution this morning to study this very area so that perhaps maybe we could sit down and talk with some of the people involved with this entire problem and come up with a more workable solution for next year?

SENATOR FELLMAN: After my bill with a campaign spending limitation