

that, are operating it, let's just do away with those people. I don't believe in doing away with our government. Many people say that a government of people will fail. I don't think this Watergate case is going to prove that our system of government can't fail, but it will prove that the people can not fail, can fail by electing people in who they do not have strict confidence in. So today, I will ask that we do not kill the system. That we simply set up and take our perogative and if we approve of the administrative DAS we can disapprove of him probably send him back to the Governor and get a new man appointed. It doesn't seem to me like they have proven the fact that the system will not work. It is the people that are implementing it that are not able to make it function.

PRESIDENT: Senator Nore, then Senator Anderson, Carpenter, Fellman, Schmit.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. President, fellow members, I will make this real short. LB 452 is nothing more than putting checks and balances in our government, in our fiscal matters. What is wrong with that? As now, we have very little check and balance, I understand that usually the auditors, State auditor does the checks and balances. He has difficulty getting information from the, and when he does they say they are picking on them down there in DAS, when he tries to get these checks and balances and I think he has listed 18 or 19 unusual procedures of shifting money from here to there. I suppose. I don't know, but there is something abnormal going on there and I think it is, we should have the power to check these and balance them and find out what is going on, and I might say that when DAS was introduced and passed in this legislative body, I opposed, it for one reason, the way it was set up, it would, it lacked checks and balances and that is so important. It is an absolute necessity to have checks and balances in Government. Some one must be looking over our shoulders or each other shoulders and due to that process, we've always had pretty good checks and balances. We've had very little prod, practically none in this, in the government of the State of Nebraska. But as it sets now, the situation is ripe for someone and I don't think we have anybody in the government now that would try this, but they could get by with considerable prod in the lack of checks and balances that we have now in the government and this LB 452 will remedy this. Now what is wrong with that? Nothing. There is no separation of power. We're not taking any power away from the Governor in the area of checks and balances so let's go ahead and pass 452.

PRESIDENT: Senator Anderson, then Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR ANDERSON: Mr. President, members of the body, I found myself very reluctant to speak about this issue because I think that my original vote against this bill and my decision today to vote against it is going to be termed by many something that is not political pragmatic, but I also feel that if I am going to make this kind of a stand, I must be willing to support the stand that I am going to make. I must be willing to speak out for what I believe in. I think the problems which Senator Marvel and Senator Clark have outlined are real problems in many cases. I have an appreciation for the problems that they face. I respect the work that Senator Clark has put into attempting to find a solution to some of these problems with his sub-committee. I have very high praise for the quiet dignity which Senator Marvel has continually used in defending his position in regard to this issue. But I still find that I must disagree with the solution that is being presented. I think that first of all we have been asked to look at this as a partisan issue and I do not think it is a partisan issue. I think that our decision should be made