

always been and I think we should just go ahead and read the bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis, stand by just a moment, will you? Let's go back and pick up the pieces. Senator DeCamp, your unanimous consent motion was shot down. Do you want to make a motion now, some other motion? We had an objection.

SENATOR DEKAMP: I'll make a motion so we can get a test on it and then if it goes on, I'll vote for it. I, I have no strong feelings either way. I just think, from the amount of controversy maybe it's best to wait.

PRESIDENT: You're just moving now to bracket the bill? Alright, Senator Duis. Motion before us is just to bracket the bill now.

SENATOR DUIS: I, I'm sorry, Senator Schmit, I don't believe you answered my question that I asked and I-- this is what I want--I, I'm, I'm such a firm believer in the wonderful people in our banking industry that I'm trying to keep and I'm, I'm really, I'm begging you to keep these people from being at odds with each other and letting someone else come in through the back door. You know we have higher education at odds with each other now and it's, it's being injured. I'm trying to keep from injuring anything and I've, I still want to know about this competitive business you're talking about. Is this a competitive bill? I didn't think that it was.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit, do you care to respond?

SENATOR SCHMIT: I didn't think it was

(End of Belt #1)

(Start Belt #2)

either, Senator Duis, but the opponents of my bill had declared that it is not--the type of bill which I have proposed it to be and they have brought that area into discussion. I don't think it's a -- a competitive bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: I'm sorry to rise again on this issue. I have submitted a--interim study committee resolution to the Reference Committee which I hope they will find a manner of scheduling in this regard. Now this bill that we have before us today, One; is not the bill and does not express the intent that it was introduced on. The Banking Commission, in our committee hearing, heard a bill that had a 2600 foot limitation which is now gone. This vastly modifies this bill. The amendment that was put on was not put on with the intent that the introducer proposed so we have a bill that is no longer a bill that was proposed and an amendment that was not the amendment that was intended. If ever there was cause to continue this bill, I think we have it here. If you pass it today, you are going to very grossly and unfairly give an advantage to those banks who have not yet constructed this outreach facility. Those who have within this 2600 foot limit, have thousands of dollars invested in them, they cannot destroy them nor move them and they are going to be severely disadvantaged by this bill in its present state. I urge that this bill be defeated on final reading.