

May 9, 1973

example that you, a man who has a license has anything except for privilege would destroy the whole concept of the liquor business and the matter of licensing them. If you're going to allow them to have a vested interest by complying in everything else, insofar as I'm concerned I'd be tickled to death with it. But I wouldn't be caught dead advocating it. And in the position I am in. This is just not good legislation. Now if the Senator believes that the Liquor Commission will accept his amendment, if they will, I will and if they won't I won't. I didn't attempt to be personal, Senator, I'm just saying why do you want these amendments. You have been in trouble with the Commission, not you but the people you represent and that's not for me to determine whether you're guilty or not. I do not care.

(End of Belt #16)

(Begin Belt #17)

The Commission said that they thought you were and I presume you're appealing it to the court. Now to try to neutralize the whole thing by calling them a bunch of scoundrels and everything else you can think of in order to plead your case here when you go in court, that's where you plead your case and so far you haven't been very successful and I don't think you will be because the Supreme Court and the District Court always, as they should, gives the Liquor Commission the benefit of the doubt. This is a dirty business. It never did anybody any good and it's legal. I have no excuse why I'm in it, but I don't expect and neither have ever been to the Commission to try to find any exception in the laws that now exist. If I'm guilty, they ought to throw the book at me. I won't come in here and try to change the law to try to preclude it in the future. That isn't the reason we're here and I hope you'll turn all of these amendments down because I don't think they are good legislation. You can't do it anyway, either adopt one of them or destroy the whole concept. The liquor business is a privilege and has no vested rights.

PRESIDENT: Well, just a second, let the chair interject here just a moment that the only issue we have before the body right now are the committee amendments as amended by Senator Whitney. It's the chair's understanding that Senator DeCamp may have amendments but it's my understanding they have not been offered and at least they are not under consideration at this time. We're talking about only the committee amendments. Now, Senator DeCamp, back to you.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege which may go into the amendments, Senator Carpenter has indicated that my motives for these amendments are personal, that I've had difficulties with the Liquor Commission. I have represented as an attorney several clients before the Liquor Commission and contrary to what Senator Carpenter has stated, the ultimate success, or the ultimate result in every single case I have represented has been total success. In other words, on each offense that they've been charged with, we've maintained the license and in the last case, as I say I hate to get into this point of personal privilege, in the last case the Liquor Commissioner appeared on the stand in the District Court of Lancaster County and was soundly defeated. So it's not a personal thing with me. What is important is that in this one little amendment which wasn't really ever heard in a committee, the issue before the committee was changing some of the bottle club laws and things like that but in this one little amendment you have taken the Liquor Control Commission and given them power beyond belief. Now if the Liquor Commission were indebted to any particular individual, be he Senator or otherwise, I think there's also a 25% salary increase or 30% salary increase in the bill, if they were indebted to any particular individual