

May 8, 1973

it possible to apprehend the violator and impose an appropriate sentence or penalty. Now since LB 310 is being kicked around and played with and sometimes it's good to handle things with laughter rather than seriousness, I would propose an amendment if 310 is to be considered that the only use be made of LB 268 which was just passed that I think would be valid, that would be a penalty for violation of LB 310 and as you know LB 268 reinstated the death penalty by electricution in Nebraska.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion for the previous question, signed Senator DeCamp.

PRESIDENT: All right, previous question has been moved. Does the chair see 5 hands? Thank you. Question is, shall the debate now close? All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote no. Motion is to close debate. Question is, shall the debate now close? Are you all through voting? Okay, the Clerk will record.

CLERK: 22 ayes, no nays on motion to cease debate.

PRESIDENT: It takes 25 votes in here to close debate. The motion before us is shall LB 310 be indefinitely postponed? Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, I have a question of Senator Fellman if he'd yield.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fellman, will you yield to a question?

SENATOR BARNETT: I was on the committee that heard both of these bills two or three times and was in the committee meeting when Mickey Mouse and Donald Duck was present and--that's what the committee report says--I think at that time I really want you to hit on why and Senator Warner should have the same opportunity, you've heard Senator Carpenter say ignore these two bills, kill them both, why do we need this kind of legislation? Would you try to expand on that?

SENATOR FELLMAN: Yes, I guess I, yes, I'd be happy to try to expand. I obviously didn't make my point very well at the beginning and unfortunately a Mickey Mouse and Donald Duck mentality has begun to take over what I think is a very serious question. I'm not saying and I don't what to be misunderstood at all, I'm not saying that this is an altogether perfect bill. I would be very happy to see some amendments reasonably proposed in the area of the amount of money that can be spent. I think the discussion is another example of this body just kind of going off and making light, even though it's late in the afternoon and we might be tired, of a subject that deserves far more than lighthearted joking. I don't agree at all with the arguments put forth by Senator Proud or Senator Carpenter that this is an area that should be totally unregulated. The fact is that vast amounts of money have been spent and I think wasted in political campaigning. These monies that are spent have to be raised and when they are raised people are out making promises. Now every promise isn't bad and every dollar that's spent isn't bad but we all are aware, none of us will admit publicly because of the people that have stood up here and only joked about it, we all are aware and we all have seen the excesses of political campaigning. I don't think it's wrong to forget about Watergate. The dishonesty isn't the thing we're talking about. The Watergate comparison is the fact that they had this excess of money. I don't think it's right in the State of Nebraska when someone is required to send \$200,000 or \$300,000 or even is allowed to spend \$300,000 in a statewide campaign. And for Senator Carpenter to say he spent a tenth of that forgets the fact that he has been running for public office and has held public office for 35 or 40 years and his name is a household word politically. Senator Curtis' name was just as much a household word and though I certainly