

May 8, 1973

time and it seems to me that this was a waste of people's money and that we would be far better off to propose and to pass a bill with realistic spending limitations. I don't know of any good that comes to the people of this State by large contributions to campaigns. I doubt that there are that many contributions made to any of us that are made out of the generosity of the heart and the good wishes of the person who contributes. I doubt that the vast expenditures for billboards and television and printing and media of all sorts does anything but pass the pockets of those who make money in businesses involved in these areas. There are many ways to be heard and they don't require the vast expenditure on television and radio and in the press. Now this bill is going to be defeated we ought to face up to the fact that maybe we don't have the courage in this Legislature to limit ourselves. I think that it would be very reasonable and sensible if people were proposing amendments to this bill saying that the figures are wrong, that we should allow more money. But to merely toss this out and laugh it out seems to me to be putting ourselves on the wrong side of an issue, even if this issue's time hasn't come. Its time is coming in legislative halls all over this country and we ought to give serious thought to the fact that sooner or later we're going to have to come to grips with a realistic limit, just as we have come to grips in the past with problems that called for solutions. Now this bill, if you want to laugh this bill out, that's your affair. But I think the last laugh will be those, will belong to those who say that we have to limit spending.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes next Speaker Proud, then Senator Duis, then Senator Schmit.

SENATOR PROUD: Well, Mr. President, and members of the Legislature, I don't want to laugh it out, I want to vote it out. I want to vote it out along with Senator Carpenter and I'm sure that Senator Fellman is promoting this bill in good faith. Not that anybody is interested, but in my judgment this bill defends the crook. I think this bill would promote absolutely bad and crooked politics. Now why do I say that? Senator Fellman mentioned something about little money bags. Little black satchels. You're not going to get rid of those by this bill--no way. When somebody wants to run around with a bag of money and give it to somebody else, I presume that's what he does. There are only two people, I also presume, that know about it--the givor and the givee. Now let's look at this a little more closely, one section, they're all rather bad. Section 4 says, no supplier of advertising materials or services shall accept an order for such advertising materials and so forth and soon unless candidate or his designated nominee has delivered to such supplier authorization for such expenditures. I'm telling you, that will make crooks out of honest people and it will give the real crook the best chance of getting elected. But what does that that I just read mean? Well, I can even, I can legally under that as I read it

(End of Belt #17)

(Begin Belt #18)

I can go to the lumberyard or somebody else can on behalf and buy all the lumber I want to. That isn't a designated piece of political propoganda and then I can have somebody else or do it myself through a little silk screen process print up some cardboard signs and I can go home and mail those to this lumber until hell freezes over. It may be illegal, it apparently is not within the spirit of what Senator Fellman's trying to do but under this bill I can do it and I get frankly fed up with bills that try to cover up. Now Senator Fellman,