

May 8, 1973

bill you're going to pass you're not going to clean it up. All you're doing is creating in the minds of individuals ways to get around it. This bill has no real purpose at all and it ought to be laid to rest quietly without any further resistance.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion of the motion to indefinitely postpone? Senator Fellman.

SENATOR FELLMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I sat back and enjoyed the lecture in the art of politics by Senator Carpenter. The other night I had the pleasure of reading a book put out describing the history of the Democratic Party in Nebraska and I enjoyed reading the chapters on Terry Carpenter and his race for the United States Congress back before I was born in the early 30's and his tangles with Senator Norris in the middle 30's. The long and very colorful career of Senator Carpenter. And I'm really amazed to hear the Senator today state publicly that we should have really no laws. Now I'm not defending this bill as a perfect bill and I don't know that this bill is all that it should be. I think that possibly a great deal more work should be done. But for this bill to go down the drain as Senator Carpenter would say because we don't need any laws governing campaign financing, or for him to infer that just because characters in Washington carry money around in suitcases from the United States down to Mexico and back to Washington that we should have a situation in Nebraska where people supporting political candidates are carrying money around in suitcases, whether it goes into Senator Carpenter's suitcase or anybody else's suitcase seems to me to be just altogether wrong. There are parts of this bill that Senator Carpenter read and I don't think that he did anything but read them to you. The law of Nebraska today is that the Lieutenant Governor and the Governor run as a ticket. Now that being the case by constitutional amendment I ask any of you what is wrong when those two people have to run together, what's wrong with allowing them to pool their resources. It seems to me an altogether proper and rational way to run that campaign. Senator Carpenter made mention of the fact that there would require, there was authorization required by the candidate before money could be expended. Ladies and gentlemen of this Legislature, this would be protection to every one of us who would run for office or any one of us who would be involved with anybody else's campaign. We have all seen in every campaign problems that result when people without authority spend money. I don't think there's anyone here that hasn't dealt with that. This is not a problem. The candidate merely designates someone and that individual gives authority and there's a procedure here for filing those authorities. And all that this does is provide some control. This protects the politician. Senator Carpenter might infer and has said in a delightful interview in the Sunday paper a few weeks ago many things that maybe could lead to the thought that politicians don't need protection. That might be the case. But it seems to me that those people who contribute to a campaign in good faith deserve some protection and the public deserves some protection. Mention was made of the penalty. Possibly the penalty being a misdemeanor in this bill is too small. It possibly should be a felony or possibly the bill should really be tightened up and the real teeth that should be put in this is that conviction of violation of a complete campaign spending law should probably result in mandatory vacation from the office. That should be the penalty if legislative bodies such as ours would have the guts to come to grips with the problems of campaign financing. Now I'm aware that there are those who have argued about the dollar limitation on this bill and they've said that well, this is an incumbent bill. The fact is that a Democratic non-incumbent beat a Republican incumbent last time and spent about 25% of the money that the incumbent Governor spent. In the primaries the total Republican expenditure was about \$250,000. The total Democratic expenditure was over \$50,000, \$60,000 in the Governor's race last