

May 8, 1973

thicket now and we're glad you could come to visit us. You have an expert up there helping anyway, there's Senator Dickinson up there. Very good, alright, now then we have before the body the second half of the Goodrich amendment. Now, first to speak is Senator Whitney, then we'll move over to Senator Syas, Chambers and Marsh.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Mr. President and Members of the Legislature. During the time we discussed LB 315 on general file--

PRESIDENT: Excuse me just a minute, Senator Whitney. There's, there's an excess of noise in the chamber. I'd hate to rap the gavel and so forth. That is kind of startling. Let's kind of hold the noise down. If you want to visit, move off in the wings would you please? Hold the conversation down, Senator Whitney is deserving of your attention, please. Go ahead, Senator Whitney.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Thank you, Mr. President. During the time that we discussed LB 315 on general file, I brought up the point that the bill as it was said that no policeman could have any interest in a business that had a liquor license. Now then, this means and I brought this up at that time, that they could not own any stock in a mutual fund. There is a question whether or not they could have any cash value in an insurance policy because insurance companies invest in sometimes, in stock and so if they invest in stock, it's almost impossible sometimes for them not to invest in something where the corporation has some investment in a liquor license and so, Senator Chambers got on the floor and said if we will pass this bill over to E&R initial, then I shall be willing to accept some amendments similar to what Senator Goodrich and Senator Whitney are talking about and I voted to advance the bill on the strength that we'd have such an amendment. Now, I see Senator Goodrich's amendment here. I think he's trying to do the right thing and if there is any question about this amendment, you could say, any law enforcement officer may own up to, say, 1% or 5% of the stock in any organization but keep that percentage so low that definitely he would not have a sufficient interest to consider not requiring say, a establishment with a liquor license from observing the law, so I wish to go on record as supporting Senator Goodrich's amendment and I feel that we've got to do it and if there are no other complications show up, it's the only way that I will vote for the bill because I do not wish to deny policemen the opportunity of taking some of their life savings and salting it away in mutual funds or in stock of corporations and they may have an inherited something, for example. If they inherit something, they may not want to sell it. That means they'd have to resign from the police force and a policeman likes to obey the law because he's trying to get other people to obey the law and if we say, you can't have any interest, then it just simply prohibits him from investing in mutual funds and insurance companies and so on, and taking out life insurance and so we've got to have, in my judgment, the Goodrich amendment. If we don't, we're not going to get this bill passed.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak is Senator Syas, then Chambers, then Marsh, then Stahmer.

SENATOR SYAS: Ladies and gentlemen, I just want to ask a question, I don't want to make a speech on this and I might ask it of Senator Luedtke or Senator Goodrich. Does