

May 8, 1973

(Start of Belt #7)

making all kinds of corrections. I am wondering what would be wrong with holding this and letting everybody look at it until the next session and I am not speaking in opposition to it, not by a darn site, but it's concern to me to that extent and it's concern to other members of the body in the same as I. I think this ought to be laid on the shelf for awhile and let everybody take a good long look. Know just exactly where we are going and what we are getting in to with this. I think it's of high importance that it be given more consideration than it has. I, in frankness to you, I am one of 49 in this body, I don't know what the contents are and I doubt very much whether there is anybody else in this body that does. I think it is a thing we should take a long hard look at and let the general public have a good look at and everybody that's concerned in the field of enforcement and, gentlemen, maybe we can come back next session and know a little bit more about the subject that we think we know so much about now.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis. The chair wants to just remind you that we have before us only the Barnett amendment. That's all.

SENATOR DUIS: Maybe, I'd better make a point of personal privilege. I wanted to respond a little bit to Senator Skarda and say Senator Skarda, you may be right. I am trying in my mind now to think how many attorneys who are going to be involved in all of this actually saw our Committee. I am a little dismayed because we did have these Committee Hearings all this time and one of the things we kept bringing up was the inability for people to even know who a patrolman was, with all of this change of colors and cars and all this and that and the other thing and now we come into this veto thing and all the rest of this and I am just a wondering who is running this place. I really am and we got into the last safety code 101 and then I begun to wonder who was running this place, and, apparently, the threat of a veto is chilling. But Senator Skarda, you may have a point because I am just wondering what litigation might go on and what the lawyers know about it. Senator Barnett, maybe I could ask you that question. How many attorneys have we checked with on this as to what the actual legal aspects are.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett, do you care to respond?

SENATOR BARNETT: Senator Duis, I don't have my file down here right now but I can guarantee you and I can also answer Senator Skarda at the same time. This isn't a haphazard thing. It has been going on for four years and as a member of the Committee, which you were, I am sure that the sub-Committee which was to study this Resolution had numerous attorneys and if there was some way...maybe, Senator Wiltse could answer this question. I can't recall how many in number along with the highway, the truckers, everybody we talked to in the state. We pulled in everybody to try and work on this thing and I might add that these amendments we're talking about are very minor (inaudible) were changes that as to what they are talking about.

SENATOR DUIS: Well, I am realizing that, Senator, but what I am also realizing, Senator Barnett, is one of the things, and you know we talked about quite a number of times, the camouflaging of the patrol.

SENATOR BARNETT: Oh, I'd agree with that.

SENATOR DUIS: ..and now we just, all of a sudden we are just chilled.

SENATOR BARNETT: There was a Resolution. Well, when I