

May 8, 1973

I think, is not going to cripple the State Patrol. I think it offers a different method of trying to control speed on the highways, therefore I oppose Senator Syas' amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Simpson, then Keyes, Nore, Johnson.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President and Members of the Legislature. I had the opportunity to talk to Col. Kruger and some highway Patrolmen in Lincoln and out of Lincoln since we have put the amendment on and I got differing reactions from them. I, I think the important thing that I really got and shakes me a little bit, is the fact that when we have the type of radar that, that, the box set up and you hide to, to use for enforcement, that it takes more than one patrol car to handle it because you have one taking the clockings and then you have another car to run people down or maybe, I've seen as high as two and three cars used in this so we have a whole bunch of them concentrated in one area and you know very well that they're not covering other areas when they're doing this and I checked with Col. Kruger and they have at least two of these type of radar units in every one of their patrol districts in the State and the thing that bothered me the worst was the fact that they have just bought six new ones that they haven't even taken out and it would seem to me if we're going to buy new units that we ought to buy the individual units that can be used coming, going or cross wise for checking and can be used with one individual car and he can be moving on the highway. I really feel that probably this amendment is going to be taken off but I think that we have put it on temporarily at least, and, and gotten the idea across that we were attempting to let them know in the first place that we want them out on the highway enforcing the laws and not hiding, waiting to see if somebody will disobey the law if they are not there.

PRESIDENT: Next to speak is Senator Keyes.

SENATOR KEYES: Mr. Speaker, Senator Chambers I always enjoy listening to you talk. Sometimes you are very condemning of a man, but I think you are very interested in seeing that the laws of this State are upheld. I want you to comment on this after I get through. Scales on the State, we know where they're at. They set on the side of the Interstate and so forth and they are there and every trucker knows that they are there and they are there for the convenience of the trucker and you make sure that you are not carrying more than you are allowed. Also, we have cars or State trucks that carry platform scales, scales that you can drive up on to catch other people. Now last night I stopped at an interchange out here and I says, how come you're taking off on the Interstate to go up to Highway 50 and not using the Interstate but going off on the off road, and he says, my license does not permit me to go by the State scales. Now, Senator Chambers, do you feel that by allowing a man to do this and he knows that it is there, he knows the scales are there, if he knew the Highway Patrolman was out and he could see him, he would naturally slow down or he would vie him. Do you feel that we should allow the truckers to have every immunity to get by these scales by the roundabout way or do you think we should go ahead and have these secret trucks going around with a platform scale that they can drive them up on, check them, if they're over, take them out. This is the same thing as what we're doing if we allow the policemen or the Highway Patrolmen to have to be out in full sight. It's just like trying to put up a set of scales for the trucks and