

SENATOR GOODRICH: Well, I'm trying to figure...

SENATOR BARNETT: That type of corporation regardless of what size. I'm using that as an example. It may be the ABC Bar that we're talking about. An ABC Bar cannot be a corporation. You would want that type eliminated too I'm sure if you want the bigger ones, wouldn't you?

SENATOR GOODRICH: I'm just looking for the way that they can then own United Airlines stock or that type of stock, as long as they don't have an active participation and you could even say to the extent--extend the amendment--to the extent that the prime business of the corporation is liquor, then they can't have any interest in that.

SENATOR BARNETT: That's what Senator Chambers is trying to say and that's why I don't know why you're objecting to it. He's willing to do anything you want to do with the bill.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Well, now as long as we achieve that end where they can have membership, they can have membership and they can also own stock in a corporation as long as they number 1, do not participate in the management of the company and number 2, the company itself, the corporation they own stock in, its principal business is not liquor.

SENATOR BARNETT: Do you have those amendments drawn up?

SENATOR GOODRICH: No, you just asked me for them and I told you how I, what I would agree to.

SENATOR BARNETT: Oh, well, okay thank you. That's all I wanted to know.

PRESIDENT: All right, now wait a minute. Senator Cal Carsten for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR CARSTEN: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I'm only rising to hope that I can help here. I'm wondering if the insertion of the word "direct" before "interest" would solve our problem? If you think that it would, I would certainly offer that amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, do you care to respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, I think that the amendments would have to be drawn with more specificity, such as that offered by Senator Schmit and those offered by Senator Goodrich. Now if they're willing to take my word for it that I would not oppose these amendments as they've been recommended here on Select File, then I would renew my motion to advance the bill. If they do not believe it, then I think we ought to pass over the bill because it is becoming controversial. If the proposed amendments remove the controversy, maybe it can still be handled. If it doesn't, we don't have to discuss it further.

PRESIDENT: Well, that's a difficult position now for the chair to decide that one. Senator Goodrich, what is your response?

SENATOR GOODRICH: First of all, let me assure Senator Chambers that there's no reluctance on my part to take your word for this sort of thing. It's just that we cannot adopt something unless we see it or have it printed in front of us plus the fact that if we let it go to Select File, then we need 25 votes rather than a majority. So consequently, I would suggest that we bracket this bill right where it is until such time as we or whoever wants to do it can go back to Emory, get the amendment drafted, bring it back out here and we can still take it up this afternoon.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, what's your pleasure?