

May 4, 1973

is passed to give in to the pressure that is being brought to bear, then nothing is going to be changed about the Supreme Court's decision. It is the law of the land and the only law which will be upheld by the Supreme Court is one which conforms to that decision. To pass a resolution like this is designed, I believe, in the minds of certain Senators, to give the impression to people in this State that something has been done to put prohibitions on abortion. This does nothing with reference to the abortion issue. It's another red herring drawn across the trail to create confusion and take some of the heat off the Legislature and this cannot be done. It should not be done. There is no need for this resolution and if we had a legitimate discussion of the issue, we would find that there are a great number of men voting on an issue about which they have very little understanding, about which they have done very little thinking, and about which they have no real scruples one way or the other so whoever brings the most pressure to bear on them is going to be the one to sway them that direction. I think the resolution ought to be indefinitely postponed. Those who had an obligation or felt they did to introduce it for whatever reasons, have discharged that obligation. The Legislature does not owe it to anybody to help them discharge any other obligations by passing the resolution that was introduced. This ought to be indefinitely postponed. Then the debate on the merit of an abortion bill passed by the Legislature in an attempt to assume its legislative responsibility can be discussed on its merits. This resolution, I feel, confuses the issue, therefore I feel it ought to be indefinitely postponed.

PRESIDENT: Chair next rec--Chair next recognizes Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, Mr. President, I would agree with Senator Chambers--only one thing. This has nothing to do with abortion issue. It doesn't commit me one way or the other, that doesn't even indicate whether I believe in abortion or whether I don't, has nothing to do with it. This --is brought about basically for the people who embrace the Catholic faith, by others with them who would like to have the Congress consider broadening the interpretation of the abortion laws by the Congress of the United States and submitting to the people through the states to accept it or reject it. Now when we get to LB 286, then we have an abortion issue. That's the real issue and the issue there is what kind of a bill can we write and I don't--286 is not, that will square with the opinions given by the Supreme Court of the United States. It's a highly delicate matter in which I don't believe there is anybody here, including Senator Carpenter, Senator Chambers, who really has the background to determine the limitation of the court decision and what ought to be in any bill, so with those few words, I move the previous question.

PRESIDENT: Previous question has been moved. Does the Chair see 5 hands? Thank you. The question is, shall the debate now close? All those in favor vote aye. All those opposed vote no. Previous question has been moved. Are you all through voting? Clerk will record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 11 nays to cease debate.

PRESIDENT: Debate will now cease. Senator Chambers may close on his motion to indefinitely postpone LR 23. Senator Chambers.