

amendment. Do you wish to be heard on that issue? Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President, members of the body, I would just comment that the Marsh amendment does extremely broaden the Resolution to include numerous issues, not only capital punishment but, perhaps, equal rights and other issues that, regardless of their merits individually, they should be discussed individually and we have a single and very important issue that is in the embodiment of this Resolution and I would have to oppose the Marsh amendment on the bases of urging this body to confront the basic issue that this Resolution is intended to address itself to which is abortion and limit ourselves to that issue at this time.

PRESIDENT. The chair recognizes, next, Senator Carpenter, then Senator Kelly.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I have nothing to say on the Marsh amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, members of the body, I feel compelled to stand up again on this amendment as that in my heart I am in absolute complete agreement with the Marsh amendment. I believe every word that is in that amendment. I would like to vote for those words but I will not vote for those words in this Resolution. It clouds the issue worse than it is and believe me I am crying as I vote no on the Marsh amendment.

PRESIDENT: The chair next recognizes Senator Maresh.

SENATOR MARESH: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I certainly would oppose the Marsh amendment and I think there is one purpose for this Resolution. The Public Health and Welfare Committee has put in a lot of time on this Resolution for one purpose and I think we should stay to the subject. I move the previous question.

PRESIDENT: The previous question has been called. Does the chair see five hands? All right. The question is shall the debate now close on the Marsh amendment. All those in favor vote aye. All those opposed vote no. The previous question has been called. Thank you. The clerk will record. That was to close debate, yes.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay to cease debate.

PRESIDENT: Very good. The debate will now close. Senator Marsh, you may close on your motion.

SENATOR MARSH: I would like to point out that the sex of a child can now be determined before birth and this is at times used as an excuse for abortion if a family has three girls and they want a boy and the next birth..and the next child is already known as another girl. They might choose to have an abortion for that purpose alone. We included in this Resolution as it now is sickness, or state of development. Why is not sex equally important because we are saying that that should not be the reason for an abortion, if a family has three children of one sex and the sex is already known of the embryo. Nationality is also another reason used for abortions because if the mother is of one nationality and the father of another, this is used as a reason given for abortion. Why is this not important to include when you include other areas such as dependency or wantedness. Wantedness could certainly refer to the nationality of that child which is being carried in the womb. Why are these not important things to include

(End of Belt #12)