

May 4, 1973

(Start Belt #11)

my support in this for whatever it's worth but I think we ought to let the rest of the laws like they are and I think this is a problem that the Supreme Court of the United States has created and I think only the Congress can correct it and I think the resolution's in proper form. It really doesn't do anything specifically. It's only asking them to do something in their judgment, the thing to correct what they know more about than we do because they created the problem so I would hope in order to expedite the thing, we move the bill to select file with the understanding and that it would be open to amendments then and they'd be considered at that particular time and if they don't have 25 votes, they're not going to be able to do it anyway, but it will show these people who are basically interested at least we are moving and that will give them some source of some kind of satisfaction that this body is not delaying this thing any further.

PRESIDENT: Well, let's see if we can go back. Senator Kennedy, do you have some more input? I have two other people that want to speak, apparently here in regard to the condition of the bill. What is your suggestion?

SENATOR KENNEDY: I would, as Chairman of the committee that introduced the Resolution, 23, certainly agree with Senator Carpenter that we should act and act on the bill or on the resolution as written now and I apologize, I thought I knew exactly what the amendment was that Senator Lewis was offering because we had discussed it but it is some different, but I would ask that we go ahead and adopt the resolution as written. We'll have the amendments printed, we can ask the Clerk to have the amendments printed, both amendments, then we can consider that the forepart of the week. I would think this would be the way to do it and would hope that we could do it immediately.

PRESIDENT: Alright, now, Senator Chambers is first to speak and then Senator Marsh. I think what we actually have, in, in order to put this in some sort of order, is I think we probably have to ask unanimous consent to consider LR 23 and put it before the body at this particular time. Let's do this and see if we can thread the eye here of the needle just a moment. Are there any objections to taking up LR 23 at this time? Hearing none, so ordered then, LR 23 is now before us and there have been some other suggestions made. Now, Senator Chambers is next to speak and then Senator Marsh. We actually have two amendments that we haven't dispatched here and we'll see, I think I see a solution coming up. Go ahead, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't have the solution, but I have some comments, Mr. President and Members of the Legislature. The way the amendments, I meant the Resolution is worded, shows the difficulty of dealing with a situation like this just like in trying to conform to what the Supreme Court said about capital punishment not being legal in this country. There is a position that has been taken and I think the Supreme Court has taken that position in such a way that there is going to be no law passed by any state to circumvent what the court has said. Now in this attempt by the Legislature to pass a resolution to get away from the term "human being" leaves the term "human life" and that, I suppose, is to encompass a fetus at any stage of development from conception on. Yet to me, human life means any portion of a human being which carries life so it could mean the male sperm or the female ovum. Each one is human life. Now in sperm banks, sperm is frozen and used for purposes of artificial insemination. If a certain point was reached where that sperm might die, perhaps according to what this resolution says, you could not