

On the other hand, I don't think any man who ever sat in this body has done more for them and I think even they would admit that. I don't want to take any more time. I think this was a good bill, it shouldn't be killed and it shouldn't be postponed to a time certain. This is the place which this matter has to be solved. We owe at least that much to the people we attempt to serve. I have the same situation. I've got three banks, I think it is, in Scottsbluff and Gering who want it strictly for a selfish reason and the other three want, don't want it for the same reason. They have a selfish interest also. My friends, nothing moves in this world unless somebody's got a vested interest. You can't eliminate that. Who wants to eliminate. If anything moves you've got to have a vested interest, in this case people have got a vested interest on both sides of the question. This is the responsibility of this body to solve it and not the banks themselves because they can't solve it.

SPEAKER: Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, this is a little difficult to follow this array of order that we've had here today and we do find strange coalitions. I find myself on the same side as Senator Chambers for the third time in one day. I do want to support Senator Murphy's move to indefinitely postpone this bill and I don't think he has confused the issue, I think he has clarified as contract to Senator Schmit's allegations towards the oration. Now I of course have several banks in my district as do all of you. They are in a metropolitan area. They are all opposed to this bill but I don't think this is important. Senator Schmit has referred to people and I think this is important and I haven't found any people that think they are not being well served by some bank in their immediate area. From this standpoint, I don't see why we are serving, we are serving banks by passing this bill. They are interested in profit as well and probably more than serving people better and I would tell my own banker this and I think he's a real good banker. We are all in this same boat I'm sure. But in this body we should be interested in serving people and not in selfish interests. I feel I am truly representing, Senator Carpenter has asked Senator Murphy who he represents. I think we should be representing the people that we are serving in the State of Nebraska in our respective legislative districts. I continue to be amazed at Senator Schmit's ability to generate pressure on bills that he's done about three times this year. I started receiving tremendous mail on this at about the time everyone else did and I checked a little of it out and found out that most of these people, the mail that was coming from people--man on the street type people--didn't know what it was all about. They were simply doing something because a friend that worked in downtown Omaha and I say this truthfully and candidly had asked them to do this and when they found out what they were doing and they were opposing the banks that they were doing business with, they said well forget it, I didn't know what it was all about. Well, this sort of pressure on any bill probably does more harm than good in some instances any way. I feel that there is, there may be a problem, there definitely is a problem and, but I don't think the answer is to, to postpone it to a time certain, any new bill can be introduced, this same bill can be re-introduced but apparently the bankers of Nebraska do have a problem to work out amongst themselves and I respect this majority and again, Senator Schmit, what were your figures? 279 to 160? If you'd win an election by this plurality, you'd think you were doing real well. So I think this is a pretty good vote, representing the organization that they all belong to so I vehemently support, or enthusiastically support Senator Murphy's to postpone.

SPEAKER: Senator Kelly.