

May 1, 1973

afterall, this is one of the highway safety programs is to cut down the speed. So to tie their hands in any method they have to tie down a speeder is like making it legal to steal things out of your store.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, I don't agree with the Senator's philosophy. I think the fact if we have enough patrolmen, and I think we have enough patrol. They'd find some way to keep out of court from arresting so many people by entrapment. They'd do more good by setting up a sign that they were there. And, it's a matter of philosophy, if that's how you want to do it. I just don't think it's right to intentionally entrap people for whatever the reason is and, so far as I'm concerned, I'd like to stop it. If I've got 25 votes, I've got it stopped because the patrol would undoubtedly determine the intent in which the amendment is offered.

SPEAKER: Senator Simpson.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I agree with Senator Carpenter's amendment. I think it is a good one. I think the position of the Highway Patrol or any police force is not to hide out and see how many tickets they can give but to be out enforcing laws and the best deterrent has to be when you see an officer you don't make the mistake. So if we can put these patrolmen, and it's too bad we can't include city police on the same bases because I could tell him a couple of examples of radar being set here in Lincoln within the last week that were obnoxious to me in the positioning of the cars on private land, that we should not allow it, but put them out so people see them and the problem will be much less. The new radar system environment is such that driving down the highway from either direction or across from you, they can get your speed and they surely can do it before your eyeballs will get close enough to detect it as a patrol car. They can make the same amount, and again I make the notion, it should be that they are not out there to see how good a statistics they can get on picking up speeders but to slow people down.

SPEAKER: Senator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. Chairman and fellow members, I just can't imagine anybody even considering such an amendment. I think you're pulling our legs. I just can't imagine it. I get as many tickets as any of you here, I think, for speeding and I think these folks have probably saved my life several times by slowing me down because I do drive fast when I don't see one of those red lights on top of one of these cars and I'd like to have them off so they will slow me down a little bit. And anybody that would vote for an amendment like this, why, I think it's ridiculous.

SPEAKER: Senator Nore or Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, I am going back to reminisce just a little bit with the grand old man with the Safety Patrol, State Patrol, Colonel Sanders. You know our Patrol and they are all talking about safety now and this is a very, very popular thing and the minute you have an amendment that might do something, they say, now you are going to do something to hurt safety. You know our Patrol used to be called the State Safety Patrol. I wonder why they changed that to State Patrol. The thing I am getting at here, Colonel Sanders used to say, our mission is to prevent crime. That is our primary mission. I, too, go along with the idea that if you could make these cars so conspicuous so that they would see them for miles we would have a lot less infractions and the thing that I want to stop, if it's in force now which I had heard rumors that it was, that anyone had a quota as to the number of arrests that