

that those that say if the dam is broken, we can't stop it. I think that's a terrible admission on our part that we're not able to judge the bills on a basis of what we need and on the basis of what we have to spend. Now information is available to all of us as to the dollar position of where we are right now. We got a good estimate yesterday. We have at least two or three pending things before us. Senator Warner has his amendment to lower the income tax to 13 which takes \$12,000,000; that leaves additional surplus in general fund. We got the \$30,000,000 of revenue sharing. I think that I am capable of making a judgment bill by bill on its merits and on its cost and I really want to tell you that I think most of you are capable of doing that. I don't suggest to you simply because there's an A bill on final reading that you would simply say, well we got to pass it anyway. I think that the record that you have in here for being tight listed is pretty clear to me and I don't think that any dam's going to break and you're going to spend \$25,000,000 right away in A bills. I think that Senator Carstens certainly has a bill that is meritorious, certainly needs to be implemented and there are several there of the like kind. I would urge you to support Senator Carstens' motion to read 110 and then subsequently pass 110A.

SPEAKER: Senator Nore.

SENATOR NORE: Mr. President and Members. I think there is a need for an amendment to the action we have taken not to pass any bills with fiscal impact. I think probably we should put a 50, 75 or \$100,000 limit on that. We know it's impractical not to pass any fiscal, any bills without fiscal impact, that's absolutely impossible. We're going to have to do this. Now I'm not going to do it. I don't have any bills with fiscal impact up on the board, someone that has one can do this. I would suggest, 50, 75 or \$100,000, anything under that why we'd go ahead and read the bills and, and pass on the A bills.

SPEAKER: I'm going to recognize Senator Duis, then Senators Syas, Marvel, Stahmer, Chambers and Fred Carstens, so here goes.

SENATOR DUIS: I thought probably we might be able to clear up a point here if I might ask Senator Frank Lewis a question. Senator Lewis, the A bill requirement according to the rules is anything \$5,000 and over. Now you said in your talk here and I'm sure that probably each of the 49 legislators here probably has some spending bill they'd certainly like to have so when this thing gets going, everybody's going to get up and go for their bill but what I'm trying to say here, you said it's not necessary to pass the A bill on final reading even if we pass the enacting bill. Now I think, I, I need to ask you this question; is, do you think that you can pass a bill on final reading that has a fiscal impact and an A bill, that the A bill can be voted down, because, do you believe that the implementation bill then can be of any force and effect without the funding?

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Well, Senator Duis, I apologize. It may be the diction because of my place of origin. My point was that simply because an A bill is on final reading, it doesn't have to be voted--it doesn't have to be passed.

SENATOR DUIS: That's what I meant.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Well I agree with you. I don't, I don't suggest that we pass authorization nor appropriation. I'm just saying that the implication has been that if an A bill is on final reading, that's going to open the gate and everybody's going to vote for A bills. Well, there's two ways to