

May 1, 1973

(Start Belt #4)

which permits the changing of the makeup of the judicial nominating commission and 110 is a bill which I introduced in order to correct and to implement the constitutional amendment which was adopted. Now in order to, to get this into effect as soon as possible and it should be done, it would carry some fiscal impact in that the entire structure of the judicial nominating commission throughout the State must be reconstituted, reappointed and put into operation. Now the \$13,000 is necessary for the cost involved in getting the judicial nominating commission changed. I would urge you very strongly to support the final reading of 110 because, as it is now, the Governor is under a handicap. We've adopted a constitutional amendment to change the makeup of the nominating commission. The Governor is under a handicap in--operating under the present law and there might even be a question now whether or not we are validly--we are appointing judges or filling vacancies to the judgeship under a valid procedure and I believe that we need this and we need this badly. I would urge you to support this.

SPEAKER: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Will the Clerk read the motion.

CLERK: The motion is, Mr. President (read).

SENATOR CARPENTER: I move we divide the question. I think if you want to break this thing, there's another position. If you want to pass 110A, why you broke the dam and it's free, free and open and so I move we divide the question, vote on each bill separately, ask--

SPEAKER: Well--yes, Senator I, Chair agrees with you. You mean vote on whether we're going to unbracket or not? Is that correct? Oh, they're not bracketed? These bills, I see, these bills were just passed over.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I just want to consider--

SPEAKER: Oh yes, they would be taken up separately.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Okay, as long as that's understood. Now which one are we going to consider first, 110?

SPEAKER: Well, what order are they in, Mr. Clerk? Yes, we'll read 110 first. Yes, alright, Senator Carpenter, so we have this clear. You're saying that we should first vote which I think is proper as to whether we're going to take them up on final reading. Is that what you're saying? Because we, well wait a minute, Mr. Clerk, these were just simply passed over. Is that correct?

CLERK: Because of the fiscal impact, yes.

SPEAKER: Yes, but because of fiscal impact, because of a, of a rule or a motion that was passed that we would not take up bills that have a fiscal impact and therefore it would seem to me that we would first have to vote whether or not we're going to take them up. Is that your understanding, Senator Carpenter? Because we've already decided, it's the Chair's understanding, we've already decided that any bills with a fiscal impact, we will not read on final reading, therefore, if we're going to change that, that we would have to have a motion to take the bill up and those motions should be