

April 30, 1973

a lot of amendments in this white copy of LB 45 that have no particular impact. As far as the impact of changing the original 45 it's very small. The majority of them are corrective.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I, the thing that concerns me is that perhaps in your mind the impact might be minimal but when you're discussing automobile safety and then you transfer that into the area of civil litigation for negligence, the impact of what you might consider a grammatical alteration can become a quite substantial thing and it gives me great reason to pause I think. Unless that I can be assured that there's been sufficient legal input here as to the consequences, particularly in the area of civil litigation and negligence cases.

SENATOR BARNETT: Well, it's probably obvious that you're not going to take my word for it so who would you like to have me...

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Okay, no, well, I would like to inquire of you, I mean, I didn't know, I didn't know, I don't know that you can assure me that there is that sufficient input.

SENATOR BARNETT: If you want to ask me if it's been decided by a court or anything, I can assure you it has not.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Okay, well, I'll, in the basis of those comments, I'll make that motion that it be referred to the Judiciary Committee for study.

SENATOR BARNETT: Are you amending my motion that I already have on the floor?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, I don't know, would that be proper?

SENATOR BARNETT: I hope not.

SPEAKER: The order of motions, the priority of motions is this, that you can make the motion to recommit to a committee and that takes precedence over a motion to amend. So the motion of Senator Cavanaugh is in order and it is germane. Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: I'd like to oppose the motion for the simple reason that their own representatives from the Bar Association Arlen Beam and Pat Healy have both been there to represent these people and therefore I think it's necessary that we send this back to the Judiciary.

SPEAKER: Chair recognizes Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Well, Mr. President, and I would like to also oppose this motion. One reason is, I helped with this to a certain extent and I thought at last we'd gotten this where we could keep out of the courts some of the time by having very specific rules and regulations of the road. An example just happened in our office this last week. You know State Farm doesn't like to pay third party claims under any conditions and at an intersection accident you've always got a problem and with this type of legislation it sets the right of way very definitely in my opinion and I think this would help keep this out of the courts and also I think there's another place in here, Senator Barnett, if I might ask the question in regard to law enforcement and such as that and that is when they exceed the speed limit that they have their lights flashing and they have their siren going and they are not any different than anybody else. They're not cruising down the road to go to lunch at 78 miles an hour any more than anybody else and I think the bill, I know we worked on it a long time. You did, I know I didn't do too much but