

2876  
April 17, 1973

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner, will you yield to a question?

SENATOR SYAS: Maybe I've got the wrong impression. I think hidden in here you wish to some place along the line have a bill to spend revenue sharing money, is that right?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Syas, that would not necessarily be true...

SENATOR SYAS: Well, I'm asking you if it's true or not? Let's get away from that not necessarily stuff.

PRESIDENT: Gentlemen, ask a question and then allow him to answer because don't forget we have the problem of transcribing this. Thank you.

SENATOR SYAS: All right, all right. Thank you.

SENATOR WARNER: I'm in the position, Senator Syas, that the rumor mail indicated to me that the Governor was coming in with a bill to designate some use for the general revenue sharing. Now, so I'm assuming that if he does and if we're in session the issue will be up.

SENATOR SYAS: You stated in your original speech also a bill on capital improvements--unknown. We had to leave an opening for that.

SENATOR WARNER: No, what I was talking about, Senator Syas, is the capital construction that will be reappropriated funds for contracts that are presently let and under construction which I think we indicated this morning that the staff is preparing a bill or amendments to a bill which will take care of that so those contracts will not be at fault.

SENATOR SYAS: Has the Governor asked for that type of a bill?

SENATOR WARNER: Not to my knowledge.

SENATOR SYAS: Has he asked for a revenue sharing bill?

SENATOR WARNER: I can only say that I heard indicated that he would and I certainly have not been in direct contact.

SENATOR SYAS: You realize, Senator, that the Governor can introduce a bill at any time?

SENATOR WARNER: This is why I'm answering, you asked me as I understood it, Senator Syas...

SENATOR SYAS: Pardon.

SENATOR WARNER: ...whether or not revenue sharing could be considered and I'm saying that I think it's possible because I have heard that the Governor will be doing just that.

SENATOR SYAS: Yes, but the Governor hasn't and evidently silence means consent, does it not? I mean if he doesn't take any action he's consented to the action we've already taken. Isn't that reasonable to assume that?

SENATOR WARNER: Silence means yes? I don't know.

SENATOR SYAS: Pardon.

SENATOR WARNER: If silence means yes--I don't know. I would suspect that that would not be his position later.

SENATOR SYAS: All right, well that's all the questions for