

April 17, 1973

(Start Belt #5)

man that was on trial for his life and the--attorney--for murder and the attorney said, did you kill her with an axe or with a gun, yes or no, or something like that. I would have to say, Senator Syas that the motion probably affects bills that have state impact and not local--that's what your--that's your point, is it not? Yes, I think you're correct in that.

SENATOR SYAS: Alright.

SPEAKER: Senator Whitney. The Chair would further observe that the ruling in regard to LB 259 does not have to be decided today because we're not on that bill today, therefore the Chair has made a ruling and that ruling stands until it's changed and I don't see where it's of any consequence at the moment yet anyway. Senator Luedtke.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Yes, Mr. President, I just like to further ask the Chair in the ruling whether it includes the cash funds, would not have any effect on cash funds such as cash fund agencies, such as the--LB 68, I'm looking at, the Real Estate Commission which runs completely from cash funds. It has no impact upon general funds. Now that--and, or Federal funds. These would be the cash fund type things--they would not--

SPEAKER: I, I would think so but I would prefer you directed your question to Senator Marvel who is more qualified in that fie--(mike off).

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Senator Marvel, would you yield to that question?

SENATOR MARVEL: If I understand the technicality you're talking about, the list that's on Vince's desk does not include 68 because it has an A bill and regardless of whether it's cash funds or general funds, it's still State money. Now if you want to take exception to it, you can but--my instruction was to try to follow the spirit of the Stull motion and the Stull motion does not, or the bills up there, Senator Stull, do not include 43 and 43A either.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Well, Mr. President, if I may just comment, I, when I was presiding a little while ago I understood Senator Duis' motion as an amendment which he withdrew then with the understanding that that would be included in there--I understood that to be Senator Duis' amendment to the amendment which he withdrew because it was stated that that was--was the Stull amendment. Is that correct, Senator Duis, would you answer me on that?

SPEAKER: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Thank you for bringing it up, Senator Luedtke. Yes, my motion to amend was so that we could pass bills on final reading that had cash fund appropriations. As a matter of fact, any bill that did not have an impact on the budget from the general fund. I withdrew that motion because it was my understanding that it was not necessary, that that was included in Senator Stull's motion.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Now Senator Stull, would you answer whether or not you understood it that way, it was your--your intent?

SENATOR STULL: Senator Luedtke, it is my understanding that we wouldn't read any A bills regardless of whether it was--would take a new appropriation or whether it was a cash fund because I think it does have a fiscal impact.