

April 17, 1973

like deficiency bills that he's preparing to ask to be introduced. He should and if he isn't well aware of the fact that his budget bill did not have this in it. Senator Warner's motion will not take care of the matter because there is no bill which takes care of this. Now if all--if Senator Warner's simply doing this to call attention, fine, the Governor has two alternatives and as I understood his message yesterday, it was simple, that he wanted the cooperation of this body and that he wanted his bill passed. We followed that--not mandate, but that suggestion. Now if he has problems, he also said in that message, or at least I read it in that message, if he had problems he would come back to us. If this is a problem, I assume he will come back to us in one of two ways. Either ask one of you to introduce a bill to take care of this problem because it's obviously an oversight on his staff's part or secondly, he will, he will come in and send a message in, saying that he has troubles already and he would like help.

SENATOR BARNETT: Well then, would you say in th--in your expertise as the Appropriations Chairman that this is just the beginning of many of these little incidents that will have to be taken care of? I, I, did we move too fast for him?

SENATOR MARVEL: No, I don't think we moved too fast for him. He knew--obviously came in at 10 minutes after 10:00 yesterday, knowing that we were going to take up the capital cons--or that we were going to take up the appropriations legislation. He came in--it was timed deliberately to influence that. He wanted his impact. He got his impact. Now if he's in trouble--

SENATOR BARNETT: Okay.

SENATOR MARVEL: --I assume the thing for him to do is to come in and ask for help.

SENATOR BARNETT: Thank you.

SPEAKER: Senator Schmit. Senator Schmit. Senator Schmit passes. Senator Waldron.

SENATOR WALDRON: Mr. President and Members of the Legislature. As you notice, all the amendments are being presented here. You can see that many of these bills are going to have to be taken on a case by case basis on what the appropriation is and whether there is one now so it's not an additional appropriation. Take LB 110 for instance, implements the constitutional amendment from last year and the fiscal impact is only \$13,000 and there is that much being spent presently under the present system so there is no additional fiscal impact so it would probably be better if we just consider these issues, you know, on a case by case basis and then have Senator Marvel explain it to him then.

SPEAKER: Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President and Members of the body. I'd like to second what Senator Waldron said. I think by--the fiscal staff helping Senator Marvel look ahead to each bill as it comes up, they can, they can tell what fiscal impact, if any there will be on any bills and I'd have one other point of clarification. Are we talking about the bills for yesterday and today? Or just yesterday's bills? I'm not sure what the motion said. Or all bills on final reading? I see Senator Stull--all bills, alright.

SPEAKER: Senator Stull indicates we're talking about all bills.

SENATOR STAHMER: I'd like to ask Senator Marvel if he could yield for one moment.