

April 12, 1973

at the substance, I think it is consistent with a series of legislation that has passed our body.

SPEAKER: Senator Mahoney.

SENATOR MAHONEY: Mr. President, members, I also would like to say that one of the reasons that the Governors in the various states that have had this bill have vetoed it and have looked upon it with concern is the very reasons that we have these amendments back here today and I think that the Legislature should compliment Senator Savage for putting this back in and making it more acceptable and I think there's no problem with this at all. He's the originator of the bill, he has studied this, he has had it from his point of view and from the people who have supported this within the news media and I would hope that the Legislature would just go ahead with his bill today which corrects those problems that were originally in the bill and I can see no problem as long as the originator of the bill--Senator Savage--himself has pointed this out and there is this new bill here.

SPEAKER: Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, I'm really confused at Senator Fellman and at this whole procedure and approach by the Governor. I don't understand why, I know the Governor had these sentiments regarding this bill for, for a long time. I don't understand why the amendments were not formally offered at the time that the committee heard the bill, why they weren't acted upon by the committee at that time and why we didn't discuss these things the first time the bill came to the floor. This seems extremely peculiar to me just from a procedural point of view. I see Senator Luedtke may have some answer to some of those questions. I'll direct those questions to Senator Luedtke then.

SPEAKER: Well, several Senators are requesting the floor, but Senator Luedtke.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Yes, in answer to the question, Senator Fellman really answered it, but let me just clarify how this came about. Senator Fellman did say to me that he was going to get some amendments. The committee never got the amendments, we never saw them although I know that he did get them, but in the interim Senator Fellman was absent the afternoon--it was over a weekend as I recall, Senator Fellman, and that Friday afternoon you were gone, we did have an Executive Session that afternoon and the committee moved to put the bill out and they said you can put the amendments on the floor. We never saw the amendments and the amendments were never put on the bill on the floor so consequently the committee has never had these amendments to deal with. This is why I again state from the standpoint of the Judiciary Committee I think there ought to be a hearing so that we could consider these amendments. I'm sure it would not be, we would not have to go into it as full-blown as we did the last time but I think there ought to be another hearing on the, on this bill.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, Mr. President, if I could just conclude my remarks.

SPEAKER: Yes, please do.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I think that I would have to say that I was satisfied with the bill as passed and I think that there was ample opportunity to discuss this, if Senator Fellman attempts to read into my position now that I'm seeking headlines, I couldn't think of anything further from the truth and perhaps the reverse is true in the timing of the introduction