

April 12, 1973

a major crime that would be punishable by death or life imprisonment that he would have to testify that he, as to what he saw which has nothing to do with the original intent of the bill in the first place.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Now initially when you introduced the bill, the Governor did express that he wanted some items, are these the same items he expressed at that time?

SENATOR SAVAGE: Well, in part, we compromised.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, he offered those amendments to the committee, didn't he, at the time they were heard?

SENATOR SAVAGE: That's correct.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: And they were rejected?

SENATOR SAVAGE: No, they were not rejected.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, what happened to them?

SENATOR SAVAGE: Well, I rejected them as the introducer of the bill.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, weren't they offered to the committee?

SENATOR SAVAGE: No.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: They were never offered to the committee?

SENATOR SAVAGE: No, I don't believe so.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Okay, thank you.

SPEAKER: Senator Fellman, then Senator Mahoney.

SENATOR FELLMAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I might be able to answer your question, Senator Cavanaugh. There was some discussion--I had had a copy of those amendments and was not present at the time they were discussed and the bill was passed, advanced out of committee. It's just that simple. I had intended to offer them because the Governor had spoken about a veto. There was a discussion back and forth. I think that the position of the Legislature in questioning this more stringent approach is a little bit strange because on a number of, a number of bills that have come across our desks this year we have supported what would normally be called a rather strong law and order position. I would point for instance to the discussion and vote on capital punishment in which I was in the minority and in which the Governor by the way was very much for. Now what this bill does with this set of amendments of Senator Savage really does is to tighten up this bill a little bit and to require, to make it a little bit more of a law and order bill and I think it's one of the reasons there was some resistance to this from among reporters or from among people connected with the press. I don't know that there was really uniformity among the working press at all. It seems to me that this whole matter has had a very thorough public hearing and can be debated very easily on the floor of this Legislature when the bill comes up. I thoroughly endorse Senator Savage's request at this time and I think that we can keep faith with ourselves most easily by following and bringing this to the floor. I see nothing that will be accomplished or nothing that will be added other than more delay and more controversy. The only good that can come of it is for whoever wants to make some political headlines back and forth knowing full well that this is a matter effecting the press and therefore a matter likely to obtain stories and headlines in the press. But if you really look