

April 11, 1973

cases where, and I think I could name them but I am not going to, where a person may be supporting something and very much interested in it but he may have a work load that he doesn't care to serve on the Committee also.

SENATOR DUIS: You don't have to be present. You can excuse yourself.

SENATOR SYAS: Why can't you give the Senator the option of he is entitled to serve on it if he wishes to. I know that there are Senators that request to get off of Committees even though they were interested in the Resolution because of workload. I know that to be a fact. I do not see why you should force someone to serve on something that he doesn't want to serve on because he's got too much other...to many other things to do. Just an idea.

PRESIDENT: All right. Senator Carpenter, then Senator Kremer, then Senator Marsh.

SENATOR CARPENTER: When I first heard about this amendment, the original suggestion was to make these members ex-officio members of the Committee and I would think that is the way it ought to be kept, but I don't think it is a good procedure to start. I really don't have any objection to it. To allowing the Committee to be enlarged, in most cases, by one member in order to protect his interest. I think it is all right to go along, but I don't think he ought to have a part of the voting. I think the Committee can let him participate to the extent they want to and then when he gets the results of the Committee, why not just make him a member of the Committee, if he is not a member, to protect his interest in the course: area of the study. After all, what you determine in the study is unimportant. It is what you are going to determine in a Committee who hears what the Study committee recommends, and I would hope that somebody would amend the bill to make the member ex-officio. He can come of not, and to the extent the Chairman wants to allow him to participate, why he can do that or he can't do it, depending on what the chair of this study committee wants to do. I am presuming we are going to have a lot less Study Committees because before, I know that sometimes in my own case, we introduced these Resolutions with the understanding of briefly becoming the chairman of the Committee and that no longer exists, and I don't think it should exist. I think a lot of these studies were completely unnecessary and if the man who introduced them was not suppose to be the chairman, they wouldn't have been introduced in the first place. And I don't think we ought to broaden these Committees and make him a regular part of a Committee in order to let him participate as if he was a member. Ex-officio, yes. Official, no.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman, I have no strong feelings on the voting privileges of the introducer of the Resolution and I rather hesitated to insert in the proposed change that you should have voting privileges and I do feel strongly that the person instigating the Resolution should have a part of the activity of the Committee. Prior to this, the person that offered the Resolution always a part of the Committee and he does have a deep interest in it and, as Senator Syas says, that if he doesn't want to become a part of the Committee activities, he can stay home, but I think it would be optional as far as attending if he wants to. Now, if the body feels strong that he should not have voting privileges, I could care less. I want him to have a part of the.. and have an input in the discussion and the hearings and whatever activity the Committee may generate. I don't care.

CLERK: Mr. President, I have a motion to amend.