

April 11, 1973

(Start of Belt #4)

I think you'll probably have to have different kind of badges. But I would think that there is no way we can control this, and I don't think there is anybody here that can do it and I think we're going to have to just let the Governors people, fiscal analysts people, the students and hope and pray that nobody gets involved in lobbying while their underneath the balconies.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, then Senator Stahmer, Whitney, Kremer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, when it came to my attention that the number one demagogue and the number one repelican had gotten together on this particular issue, it reminded me of the time that Pilate and Herod who had not been speaking to each other, came together at the trial of Jesus. When these two types come together, you have to view with great skepticism what-evers produced. Senator Mahoney now is backing also the position which was unwise. I don't think that the objection so much gives to the physical presence of a reporter here and there, as it might be with what certain reporters may write. I think Senator Carstens brought out a very good point, that often times reporters make us sound more intelligent than the remarks that come out of our mouths, would give these, the public the impression that we are, and on the other hand, some of us are not pleased with the statements of ours, which are selected by the reporters to be given, but in this situation reporters are like women. You can't make it with them, and you can't make it without them. You have to take the bitter with the sweet and over all the sweet will probably out-weigh the bitter, so I think we're just going to have to rest with the fact that reporters are not always going to tell the truth. Reporters are human and sometimes they may feel vindictive. They may be stoned by various things that I've said about reporters and press on the floor and then the pin, being mightier than the sword, they will jab with the pin, instead of cutting of the head with a broad sword. So I think the proposed rule change, is not in order. I think we ought to defeat it and I also don't think that the responsibility should be placed on the speaker. With the competant and capable individual like Senator Proud, I don't have any worry about his taking a personal grudge to the point of telling reporters all of you have to be in that little corner over there by the file cabinet, or all of you have to sit in the corner by the clerks desk, or something like that. But we all know, that in reality a very heated issue could come before the Legislature. Perhaps it involves a controversy between the Governor and the Legislature and maybe the speaker would happen to be on one side or the other and because there would be reporters who would want to talk to individual Senators at, right now, the speaker might not want certain things brought before the publics attention by that addition of the paper that would come out. So he could arbitrarily restrict reporters to an area where they wouldn't have access to the men they would want to get opinions from or the lone, lady Senator who is here. So I think we don't need this rule change. I don't even know if the discussion has been productive of anything worthwhile, because nothing new has been said on the floor, than has been said off the floor. What I'm rising for, is to oppose the rule change and say that I think its primarily an objection to what is being written by reporters rather than the physical presence of the reporters themselves.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stahmer, then Whitney.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President, members of the body. First of all I find this Amendment very depressing and I don't know that