

April 11, 1973

or a representative to be seated at a table for a brief conference, but I can think of numerous times when there is going to be more than one in here, more than one person, representing the Governor and very likely some of them here at the request of other members and it would seem to me on that basis it would be very difficult to enforce, even though I can appreciate what the purpose was. But the first part in restricting the members of the press, I see no need whatsoever, for this kind of a change. By and large most of us who speak talk extemporaneously, and the English language being what it is, sometimes one word may have a meaning that, of, more than one nature depending on sense you use it. By and large the main way we have of communicating with constituents is through the news media, and if we are going to restrict the press so that they cannot contact the Senators, upon the completion of some remarks for clarification as to his intent, it seems to me the result is going to be less accurate reporting of what individual Senators say or what their position is, or else we will say it is with the possibility of trying to clarify it the following day, and it seems to me more important than to provide the press with free and ready access to every member of the body.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner I'm sorry to interrupt you, but Senator Duis has called to my attention and he is correct that he hasn't made a motion yet, and maybe we should go back to him, maybe there is some more explanation here before he makes the motion, I misconstrued his remark about, okay now let's go, and I think that meant he wanted to finish what he was doing and I construed it to mean let's start the debate and I'm sorry to interup you, but probably from a point of order I should go back --

SENATOR WARNER: I feel firmly about it enough Mr. President, I'm glad to say it twice so I'll wait for --

PRESIDENT: Thank you Senator Warner, thank you Senator Duis, your a gentlemen, I appreciate that.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President and members of the legislature, I could see him running up there towards the microphone and I knew he felt very strongly about this, and I think it's perfectly alright, he started ahead, but I do think I should make the motion first and if I recall correctly the motion is made and explanation after the motion, and then I surely would welcome your just taking right off on it. But I would now make the motion that this rule be adopted. Now let me explain this to you, the Rules Committee met, how Senator Warner felt about it I don't know, and it was discussed not too fully in the Rules Committee because we wanted to get this on to the floor of the legislature, but before they start talking about areas and where people will be especially the news reporters, please remember that this has, as the Speaker may direct. Now this is not restricting anybody, this leaves the prerogative to the Speaker, and I certainly would like to have you take that into consideration, because I don't think there is any of us in that committee that tried to tie any reporters or news media down any place. This was brought to us by Senator Stromer and Senator Mahoney, I knew there was going to be controversy on this, although I was told that there would be no lobbying done on it, I don't know exactly what would happen, but this is immaterial as far as I am concerned and I'm sure the rest of the members of the legislature, but the explanation of this rule change is for clarification and to give the Speaker the right to designate the area, rather than we designating, and it staying that way, it maybe such a thing it may have to be changed from time to time and actually the Speaker is selected by this body, and it would be his prerogative. I would certainly hope that the Speaker of the Legislature who we have not contacted would give his thoughts in regard to this also. So therefore I renew my motion to adopt.