

think something on line 8 might be added that could improve the bill. I'll talk to the bill drafter on that.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I'd be glad to adopt any amendment that does what we're talking about.

PRESIDENT: Chair next recognizes Senator Kremer.

SENATOR KREMER: Mr. Chairman, I'm probably in support of the bill but I have some apprehension and the thing that bothers me is this. Now just for example if the University of Nebraska has capital construction that needs to be considered in the next several years, right now we have what we think of as the priority system or priority program and I'm wondering if you spread the cost of a building that would be, that would be considered for this next year, spread it over three or four years then I'm wondering what kind of effect this would have on any appropriation or on something, on some building that's a little further down the line. There are some of us that are willing to concede that we need to build some buildings that are of interest to someone else but in the next year or so we too have some buildings we would like to see constructed. I'm wondering if we spread this over those several years whether there would not be some apprehension in continuing with another, other construction. Maybe not, I don't know.

PRESIDENT: Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: We are the ones who determine the priorities. And we have changed it from time to time in order to adjust priorities. It would mean in my judgment, it would accomplish two or three practical things probably. Number 1, costs are going up. I don't think they're going to go down, they could. And I think it would be able to stabilize the considering program in order to this legislation now determine in the future what we want to build and of course the position and the, would be the same, whatever you wanted to make it in the appropriation of the bill that you made it. It only gives you flexibility is all I can say.

SENATOR KREMER: Well, I think this is right and I think it's one reason why we maybe should consider what Senator Snyder had to say that we do need a long-range program if in the next several years we're going to do this and fund each one of them as we are able to do so and even on a continuing program. I support the bill but I hope it would not have a detrimental affect on things that are provided for in the future.

SENATOR CARPENTER: I don't think it would. I think it would expedite them and I think we could project ahead with some degree of certainty five or even ten years, because these things don't happen overnight, they are a growing responsibility, they are a growing area of demand and convenience for the people we attempt to serve and our problem so far now is only one year, we can only project for a year. We've really got our hands tied. Before we could project for two years, so we're limited as I understand it to one year.

SENATOR KREMER: Well, I'm sure you're right and I think I agree with you in what you're saying and I'm just hopeful that we'll not in any way hinder us from doing what we want to sometime in the future on other buildings.

PRESIDENT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, Senator Carpenter has--perhaps I should ask a question. The bill as it was originally introduced was to prohibit a shell as Senator Marvel has pointed out and maybe Senator Stahmer was getting--I have a little trouble following the words, but as I read it the, the structure