

April 9, 1973

check and find out and come back. And I probably made the mistake, which I do quite often, with the idea that I was told by a number of people that if we came in with a Resolution, it would probably do the same as the bill only it wouldn't hang in there permanent, and that it wouldn't cost us any money. There were money available for funding it within the program, but within the programming they felt would be done. Now after we introduce it as a bill, they say they are going out into the country, or would like to, and pick up, I would say, different programs. They mentioned Central City. They mentioned a number of different towns that they might go out and pick up programs. Now, I think they have some of those programs probably already taped, so I don't think the cost would be there. But beings we put it into a bill, they immediately come back with a appropriation thought in mind. But originally that was not in it, but the bill or the Resolution was brought to me by former Senator Fern Orme.

SENATOR SNYDER: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, I'm feel a little awkward in opposing the bill. At the same time, I've got reservations coming to mind and again, I guess, the reservation centers around the question I've carried for some time and that is, what jurisdiction is the Legislature going to take regarding ETV. I think it is one thing to establish Educational Television by the Legislature, but it is something else to define the role the Legislature is going to have after that establishment. Are we going to take one thing at a time or are we going to run ETV ourselves? Now as I quickly went back through the bill, on Page 3, this is in the original language which created our Educational Television. On Page 3, again, it says, ETV to be arranged on a statewide educational network as directed by the Nebraska Educational Television Commission. I am wondering about the precedent of the Legislature of the state now saying, you have to do this Educational Television Network. You have to do this. I hope that some other people will take a look at this and let's wonder about...it is not that I oppose...certainly, it is not that I oppose programming that is aimed at our senior citizens. That is not the point. It is a very basic point, a very basic question as to whether or not we want to take, in this instance, one thing and, in essence, tell a Commission you have to do this and, also, of course, there is the instance here of a price tag of some \$51,145 as I read this. So, I would ask members of the Committee, Senator Kennedy has told us how the bill came about, but I do have great reservations and as of now, I am not going to vote to advance LB 525. I hope that others will look at what we are doing here. It's only a question in my mind. Do we want to establish this precedence of telling ETV that you have to do this and here is the money for it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh, then Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President, members of the body, I think Senator Snyder and a question earlier alluded to by Senator Barnett are probably the heart of this bill because we are making a policy decision here for ETV which we have never made before and that was the substance of the testimony in opposition by Mr. Rhanke of ETV that there were certain dangers, political dangers here, of the Legislature in posing an itemized expression of its will on ETV regarding programming. Now, I had a lengthy question period with Mr. Rhanke when he appeared and I resolved in my own mind that in this particular case I thought we had sufficiently desirable policy to set forth here that it outweighed the danger, but I don't think that any member of this body should cast a vote on this bill without assessing that particular danger himself because it is a real one of whether and how far and on what occasion this body should express through