

April 3, 1973

the last few days and over the past several months, that the relationship between them and the Department of Institutions is the best that it has been for many years and is better than it has been at any time during the time that some of the staff members have been there and on that basis, I support Dr. Anderson's confirmation as Director of Institutions. I no more support anyone, Dr. Anderson or anyone, for any position where he occupies two or three different positions in a governmental agency or agencies in this instance any more than I do the fact that I've never been satisfied with the fact that the Director of the Penal Complex, who is in my judgment now qualified and competent, is also the Director of the girls' home at Geneva and the boys', I forget what we've called it now, training school, that's not the right word, anyway, where the boys go at Kearney and I have never liked this from the time that Governor Tiemann (then Governor Tiemann) appointed Mr. Siegler to be the, in addition to his other duties, the director of the girls' training school at Geneva and the one at Kearney. I did not feel that the Kearney Youth Development Center (thank you), I did not feel that anyone who was, even though he were qualified in the matter of penal and correction institutions and direction was qualified by the, for that reason alone to be director of a girls' or boys' development and training center and for that reason I do not believe that Dr. Anderson is necessarily qualified to hold the position that he does in a multiple level, if that's the correct word, but I do support him for the position of director of institutions.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President, members of the body, as a member of the Committee on Committees who voted neither for or against Dr. Anderson but chose to pass, I would like to state my reasons at the time and reiterate them today and to a large degree they touch upon what Senator Carstens and Senator Chambers both have just stated; namely this, that we are talking whether some of you want to think about it or not, we are talking about one man holding two jobs and this I am opposed to in this state, irrespective of party, politics, level of staff or what have you. I've seen since I came into this Legislature the fiscal staff that we have grow from about 5 people and it's now projected to go to 18 and I think 18 are going to be more than many people to try to handle the kind of work we have. When I see one man being appointed to two jobs, I just don't think it can be done. It's not a reflection on the man, it was not his choice. I think it was the Governor's choice. I think it was a poor precedent. It might have happened in the past. I don't care who did it in the past, I still think it's a poor precedent. You get what you pay for and in a department where you're spending over, a, roughly \$70 million a year, no business would operate this way in my estimation. It's inconceivable to me. I think if the Governor wants to come forward and say he's willing to spend \$35,000 or whatever it takes to get a new head of the Department, the Office of Mental Health and then have Dr. Anderson take this new job, that's another ballgame and I think the head of institutions, \$35,000 is cheap enough if it takes quality, at \$50,000. I think that would be cheap enough because this is a highly complex area, but to try to save that much money and pretend that one man could do two jobs, Senator Proud had a bill in here three years ago which would have cut the Senate in half, 25, many people favor this. Maybe we ought to have 25 Senators, maybe we ought to have 12 Senators. How few can you cut and get cut down, too? I don't think it's humanly possible to do this kind of work for one man to do it and I think we, the Governor would be wise to step forward, let Dr. Anderson retain his position