

April 3, 1973

where it showed a lack of expertise by Dr. Anderson in the areas where he is supposed to have authority, such as corrections. Dr. Anderson had nothing to say about corrections whatsoever. He did indicate that he may have been a counsellor or something like that at some time at some penal institution but I don't think that's enough to qualify him to be in charge of the area of corrections. Not one individual from the area of corrections testified either for or against Dr. Anderson. Since this is an area that the State finally is moving forward in, I believe that an individual (excuse me) would need to know considerably more than what Dr. Anderson showed that he knew before he could be given this position. One issue which I think the Legislature should consider is the fact that these two jobs are being combined in one person. I don't think Dr. Anderson should be confirmed, then a request made to the Governor that he would offer one appointee for the director of medical services and another the director of institutions. The Legislature should not confirm--period. But if they want to confirm Dr. Anderson for something, they should do it on condition that the Governor first separate these two positions, offer a legitimate nominee for the Director of Institutions, then let Anderson have the position he said was his first choice which is Director of Medical Services. Now, the way out for all of the Senators who feel that Dr. Anderson is not qualified but nevertheless are afraid to oppose the Governor head on, should say they are voting not to confirm because the Governor has refused to separate these two jobs. I'm going to end with a story. There was once a wicked old ruler of a very populous and rich country and he had 49 people that he had to get a majority of to go his way so he had them all converted to stags, majestic animals, 18 of them and brought them to his party. But before they went into the party the good witch came and turned them all into fawns. Now we're going to see today whether they vote as stags or as fawns.

PRESIDENT: Chair next recognizes Senator Fred Carstens.

SENATOR F. CARSTENS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I have been debating for a long time about what to do about the problem that's come up in connection with this approval of Dr. Anderson. I couldn't agree more with what Senator Chambers said in some respects and that is, one man should not have too many positions in any institution. But I'm speaking here only of Dr. Anderson as the Director of Institutions. Now I happen to have one of the institutions in my district, the Beatrice State Home, that is under the charge and direction of Dr. Anderson and I have listened closely for the last three or four months to see what would come out of his direction. And I have to say in all honesty and candor, without any reservations, that the administrative staff of the Beatrice State Home, insofar as I know, and many of them have contacted me personally, at one time or another, and all of them have approved wholeheartedly of the direction of the Beatrice State Home that has been received under Dr. Anderson. Now I realize that there are qualified staff members at the Home and I realize that Dr. Anderson's tenure is limited and I also am aware that he has not been in the field of correction--or of in, in, public institutions very long. But I do know also that over the years there has been vast dissatisfaction with some of the previous directors of institutions and at one time the conditions were so bad at the Beatrice State Home that the morale problem became, was so affected that it seriously affected the operation of the Home and the patients who were entrusted to the Home. This has not prevailed during the time that Dr. Anderson has been there and the staff members at the Home report to me and some have done so in