

April 2, 1973

have no reason to believe that he will. I want to make that definite, but then I would say also being further and trying to be consistent, if he vetoes the bill, if you amend it, then I would think this body ought to override it if for no other reason, to take it to court and find out to what extent can the court determine the separation of the responsibility of the Executive from the Legislative.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President, I'd like to yield to Senator Marvel first to give a response on this.

PRESIDENT: Okay. Senator Marvel, would you care to respond?

SENATOR MARVEL: Well, I'm in an interesting position this morning so I think I will take this opportunity first of all to thank Senator Carpenter for the fact, for giving an opportunity to once more discuss this measure before it comes up for final consideration so I will discuss the amendment and at the same time, discuss the impact of the bill itself and I think this is significant enough that I hope many will enter into the discussion and also ask questions that may have been bothering you since the beginning of the Federalist papers which started a few days ago. Now I'd like to make one or two points clear and the first point I'd like to make clear is the question of constitutionality. If Legislative Bill 452 is unconstitutional, then the original proposition, 173, which was passed in 1965 is also unconstitutional. If the Legislature cannot undo or if it's unconstitutional at the moment to do what we propose to do, then it was unconstitutional to set up the Department of Administrative Services in the first place. I think the point is overlooked by some who are opposed to 452. It's overlooked that this legislative body in 1965 set up the Department of Administrative Services. We have constantly surveyed this department. We have constantly introduced bills to change the operation of the department and I fail to see any logic which indicates that constitutionally we can't continue to survey and to continue to change the operations of this department. As one of the chief introducers of the bill originally, I can say this and put a burden on my shoulders, that we have had trouble with this department from its inception. We had trouble when it was set up. We had trouble with this very important area that Senator Carpenter is duly interested in, that has to do with computers. We've had a terrible time setting up this whole business of data processing or the use of computers so why isn't it natural for this legislative body which set up this department to begin with? The Governor wasn't involved in setting up the department to start with. We set it up. Why shouldn't we now be interested in the operation which includes computers and the whole bit process as far as computers is concerned, instituted by the Department of Administrative Services has been under fire for months and I think it's logical since we put up the money, that we ought to have an interest in it. Now I have one other point to make before I respond directly to, to the motion and that is that there has been some criticism of setting up a commission composed of constitutional officers. You know the Executive Branch doesn't include one officer. The Executive Branch includes all of the constitutional officers. The operation of the Executive Branch isn't the, the unique responsibility of one individual. We are not violating, in my humble opinion, any separation of powers when we say, in effect, that all of those are basically, at least the important constitutional officers shall constitute a commission, to supervise the operations of this very important department, a department which is basically responsible for all of the basic information that any of us get, whether it comes out of the computer, whether it comes out of the telecommunications system or any other way so