

March 27, 1973

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Well you can do that for runaways, that's one exception, as you just said. If it is from some other state, your ant to be able to, you'd have to check with the fingerprints in the other state, you had them in Nebraska, the only situation you have in Nebraska, is you have a young person brought in, you can fingerprint him to find out if he is the runaway that is wanted from Alabama, or California, or that sort of thing, your, what your test is, whether they have records in that state, you don't have any problem with the records in Nebraska anyway in that case.

SENATOR KIME: Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion now on the committee amendments? The question is shall the committee amendments be adopted? All those in favor say aye, those opposed say no. So ordered. The committee amendments are adopted. Senator Luedtke back to you on the original bill.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Mr. President, I move the bill be 60 be advanced to E&R for review. Mr. President I have already explained the bill, the amendments constitute the bill, I think it is a major step forward in protecting the records of juveniles the juvenile court judges are very much in favor of this bill, the juvenile authorities who work with juveniles feel that this will be a, that there is ample protection under the law for those kind of cases that Senator Kime worried about under this bill even as it stands, so we think it is a decided step forward in the handling of juveniles in the state of Nebraska.

PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion of the bill now? Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I'd have a question of Senator Luedtke if he will yield?

PRESIDENT: Alright, Senator Luedtke will you yield?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Senator Luedtke in section 3, what's the justification for sending them to the state or federal depository particularly in the instance of sub section 3?

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Well that is for identification for those as I, as I was answering a question for Senator Kime, where you have some runaway, from another jurisdiction or someone who has been convicted of a criminal offense and they have a wanted notice out, you want to see if this runaway is that particular person for identification purposes only, you can take the fingerprints. That's sub section 3.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well it doesn't say for identification purposes only, should we add that?

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Well the way it leads, and a fingerprint check is needed to return the child to his parents, it doesn't say what other reason they want to return the child, that's true, you can - if you want to further clarify it by saying for identification purposes, it is for identification purposes for return of that child, to his home community.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Okay could we add that in there, I'd feel a little better about that.

SENATOR LUEDTKE: Yes, I have no objection.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Okay, Mr. President I would offer an amendment to LB 60 to add, after parents on line 7 for identification, add a comma there and for identification purposes only.

PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion on that amendment?